A quality study to improve prophylactic antithrombotic therapy prescribed to patients with atrial fibrillation
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Abstract
Introduction: Atrial fibrillation is the most common cardiac arrhythmia and has important clinical repercussions, increasing thromboembolic events and mortality. The CHA2DS2-VASc and HAS-BLED scores assist the clinician in assessing the benefits and risks of antithrombotic therapy.

Objective: The aim of this study was to assess and improve the quality of prophylactic antithrombotic therapy prescribed to patients with atrial fibrillation.

Methods: This was a quality study, based on a cycle of assessment and improvement, applied to the population of a family health unit, consisting of an initial assessment, an educational intervention and a second assessment. Both scores were calculated for all patients. Whenever the CHA2DS2-VASc score recommended initiation of anticoagulation, the risk was calculated by the HAS-BLED score; it was thus possible to determine for each patient if the prescribed therapy was appropriate.

Results: The first assessment included 105 patients, of whom 49.5% had appropriate prophylactic therapy according to their scores. In the second assessment, four months after the educational intervention, 60.0% had been prescribed appropriate therapy, which represented a 21.2% improvement.

Conclusions: In both assessments, inappropriate treatment was due to non-prescription of prophylactic oral anticoagulation. This quality cycle serves as a tool for continuous assessment in the pursuit of improved care for patients with atrial fibrillation.

© 2013 Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.
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Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common cardiac arrhythmia, \(^1,2\) with an estimated worldwide prevalence of 1\%, \(^1,3\) but with considerable geographical variation. \(^2,4,5\) In Portugal, AF prevalence in those aged over 40 is 2.5\%, rising to 10.4\% in those aged over 80, \(^6\) and given the direct relationship of AF with age, \(^7\) its prevalence is predicted to double within 50 years due to aging populations. \(^8\)

AF has important clinical repercussions, doubling the overall mortality rate and increasing the incidence of stroke and the number of hospitalizations five-fold, as well as increasing other thromboembolic events and reducing patients’ quality of life. \(^2,3,9\) It is thus important to initiate appropriate prophylactic antithrombotic therapy in order to prevent complications. \(^10\)

The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines recommend use of the CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VAS\(_c\) score in AF patients to stratify 1-year stroke risk, \(^3\) on the basis of which prophylactic antithrombotic therapy can be prescribed (Table 1). However, the risk of bleeding complications should be assessed in all cases in which oral anticoagulation (OAC) is being considered. For OAC therapy to be prescribed, the benefit of stroke risk reduction must be higher than the bleeding risk. Various bleeding risk scores have been developed to assist the clinician in this decision; one is the HAS-BLED score, \(^11\) which enables bleeding risk to be calculated in AF patients and is thus a valuable tool in deciding whether to introduce antithrombotic therapy.

In clinical practice, the risks and benefits of OAC therapy should be assessed on the basis of the CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VAS\(_c\) and HAS-BLED scores. A patient with a CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VAS\(_c\) score of \(\geq 2\) has a thrombotic risk that indicates OAC therapy, but the bleeding risk of OAC outweighs the benefits if the HAS-BLED score is greater than the CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VAS\(_c\) score. \(^11\) In cases where the CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VAS\(_c\) score is 1, the bleeding risk outweighs the benefits if the HAS-BLED score is \(\geq 3\). \(^11\)

The aim of this study was to assess and improve the quality of prophylactic antithrombotic therapy prescribed to patients with AF through a quality cycle of assessment and improvement in our family health unit (FHU).

### Methods

This was a quality study, based on a cycle of assessment and improvement of prophylactic antithrombotic therapy prescribed to patients with AF.

The study population was a sample selected from the list of patients registered at our FHU. The target population consisted of patients recorded in the database with a diagnosis under at least one of the following International Classification of Primary Care (ICPC-2) codes\(^12\): K78 (atrial fibrillation auricular); Estratificação do risco tromboembólico; CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VAS\(_c\); Score de risco hemorrágico

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHA(_2)DS(_2)-VAS(_c) score</th>
<th>Recommended therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>OAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Either OAC or aspirin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Either aspirin or no therapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OAC: oral anticoagulation.
fibrillation/flutter) or K80 (cardiac arrhythmia not otherwise specified), the latter being included in order to minimize any bias caused by incorrect classification of a cardiac problem. However, only patients with AF documented by a diagnostic exam (ECG or Holter monitoring) recorded in their medical record were included. Patients whose medical records showed conversion of AF or who had had fewer than two start of the consultations at the FHU in the 12 months prior to the start of the study were excluded, with the aim of preventing use of out-of-date information.

The CHA2DS2-VASc score was calculated for all patients, even though both are considered only when there is an indication for OAC therapy.

The CHA2DS2-VASc score is based on eight parameters (Table 2). Congestive heart failure corresponds to code K77 (heart failure); patients were considered to have hypertension (HTN) if their medical records showed a diagnosis under the ICPC-2 codes K86 (HTN uncomplicated) or K87 (HTN complicated), diabetes with codes T89 (diabetes insulin dependent) or T90 (diabetes non-insulin dependent), a history of thromboembolic events if records showed codes K89 (transient cerebral ischemia), K90 (stroke/cerebrovascular accident) or K94 (phlebitis/thrombophlebitis), and vascular disease if records showed K74 (ischemic heart disease with angina), K75 (acute myocardial infarction) or K76 (ischemic heart disease without angina).

The HAS-BLED score is based on seven parameters (Table 3). Patients with a history of hypertension were attributed one point for uncontrolled hypertension if they had systolic blood pressure of >160 mmHg documented in the previous 12 months. Patients were considered to have renal dysfunction if they had a history of dialysis, renal transplant or creatinine >2.25 mg/dl, and abnormal liver function if there was a record of cirrhosis or biochemical evidence of liver disease, such as total bilirubin of >1.0 mg/dl, together with elevation of at least one liver enzyme (aspartate aminotransferase, alanine transferase or alkaline phosphatase) to three times normal levels. A history of stroke was defined as a recorded diagnosis under ICPC-2 codes K91 (cerebrovascular disease) or K90 (stroke/cerebrovascular accident). The parameter “Drugs/alcohol” refers to concomitant use of drugs, such as antiplatelet agents and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), as recorded in the field “Chronic medication”; alcohol use was considered relevant if there was a record of problems under code P15 (chronic alcohol abuse). The parameters “Bleeding” and “Labile INR” were scored as 0, due to the lack of data in medical records.

The appropriateness of the prescribed prophylactic antithrombotic therapy was assessed for each individual patient, with both scores being taken into account whenever the CHA2DS2-VASc score indicated OAC therapy; it was thus possible to determine whether the therapy prescribed for each patient was appropriate or inappropriate.

The quality cycle lasted four months, consisting of an initial assessment, an educational intervention and a second assessment.

The initial assessment was designed to determine the quality of prophylactic antithrombotic therapy at the FHU. The results were presented to the medical team at a clinical meeting, at which each physician was given a list of patients under their care receiving inappropriate therapy, followed by discussion. Self-evaluation and internal assessment of the results were encouraged with the aim of improving the quality of care.

The educational intervention consisted of an oral presentation by the investigators one week later on prophylactic antithrombotic therapy in AF using the CHA2DS2-VASc and HAS-BLED scores, based on the ESC guidelines for the management of AF3 and the study describing the HAS-BLED score.11

A second assessment was carried out four months later and the results presented for internal evaluation.

The data required to calculate the scores were collected from computerized medical records using MedicineOne software. The statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel 2010.

Results

Data for the initial assessment were collected by the investigators between May 1 and May 4, 2012. The study population was selected from 15 749 patients registered at the FHU.

The initial assessment included 105 patients with AF, representing a prevalence of 0.7%, of whom 50.5% (n=53) were women, with a mean age of 74.3±9.44 years.

Analysis of CHA2DS2-VASc scores revealed that 95.2% (n=100) of the study population had a score of ≥2, which places patients at high risk for stroke. The most common risk factor was hypertension, affecting 81.9% (n=86) of patients, followed by heart failure (52.4%, n=55), diabetes (26.7%,
Table 4 Percentage receiving appropriate therapy according to the CHA2DS2-VASc score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHA2DS2-VASc</th>
<th>Initial assessment (n=105)</th>
<th>Second assessment (n=95)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% appropriate therapy</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n: total number of patients with atrial fibrillation.

Analysis of the percentage receiving appropriate therapy according to the CHA2DS2-VASc score revealed that this diminished as the score rose between 2 and 6 (Table 4). All patients who will not benefit from or who have no strict indication for OAC therapy are now appropriately treated. As was the case for the first assessment, inappropriate treatment consists of not introducing prophylactic OAC therapy in patients who would benefit from it (Table 5).

Discussion

This study is original in applying a cycle of quality assessment and improvement in prophylactic antithrombotic therapy prescribed to AF patients in an FHU. It was designed as an internal evaluation procedure, which is hoped other units will emulate.

The impact that AF has in clinical practice and in patients’ lives, together with the predicted doubling of its prevalence, alerted us to the need for new strategies. We designed this quality cycle to serve as a structured approach to improving prescription of antithrombotic therapy.

Such quality assessments have become increasingly important given the evidence on the rates of AF diagnosis and prescription of OAC therapy. The FAMA study, of a representative sample of the Portuguese population aged over 40, showed that AF is underdiagnosed and that only 38.5% of AF patients are prescribed OAC therapy.6 In our study, the proportion of patients receiving OAC therapy even before the educational intervention (49.5%) was greater than that reported in the FAMA study. The difference in the provenance of the two samples may explain this; unlike FAMA, our
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A quality cycle is an excellent tool for improving patient care as it enables bad practice to be identified, results to be compared and knowledge to be updated, for example by drawing attention to the 2012 focused update of ESC guidelines for the management of AF. The main change in the new guidelines is that OAC therapy, rather than antiplatelet agents, should be introduced for a CHA2DS2-VASc score of ≥3 whenever possible. The educational intervention in our study took place before publication of the guideline update, and the second assessment was based on the guidelines available at the time. Reanalysis of the data from the second assessment in the light of the updated guidelines shows that the proportion of patients receiving appropriate therapy would be 56.8%.

Unlike the original validation of the HAS-BLED score, the new ESC guidelines do not consider this score to be sufficient reason in itself to exclude OAC therapy. Although in our study no patient was receiving OAC therapy who should not have been according to the two scores in conjunction, caution is required in interpreting the HAS-BLED score in our population as methodological limitations meant that it was underestimated. Nevertheless, there is consensus that patients with a HAS-BLED score of ≥3 should be closely monitored if OAC therapy is introduced, although it is not an absolute contraindication.

Study limitations

The main methodological limitation was the provenance of the data used in the study. Patient selection based on codes for the classification of health problems contained in medical records raises the possibility of undersampling due to incorrect classification. The lack of data on diagnostic exams may also have resulted in undersampling. In addition, some patients may no longer have had AF due to a conversion process, but this information was not available. The fact that some records were incomplete could have led to errors in patient selection and in calculation of the scores, particularly in the case of the HAS-BLED score, which was underestimated since not all risk factors could be assessed.

The prevalence of AF in our study population (0.7%) was lower than reported for Portuguese (2.5%) and other populations (1.0%). This may be due to regional variation in prevalence and to the above methodological limitations.

The educational intervention was designed by the investigators, since no validated model was found in the available information sources.

On the basis of the CHA2DS2-VASc and HAS-BLED scores in conjunction, we would have expected more patients to be prescribed OAC therapy than observed. The quality cycle is specifically designed to improve performance in this respect by increasing the number of appropriately treated patients.

Patients should be assessed on an individual basis, since they often have characteristics that are not covered in clinical guidelines but which the clinician should take into account, in order to properly weigh the risks and benefits of OAC therapy.

The development of new anticoagulant agents, with different pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties, may change current practices for monitoring anticoagulated patients, thereby helping improve levels of appropriate therapy.

Continuing with such quality cycles will lead to progressive improvement in the number of patients receiving appropriate treatment, in step with scientific advances.

Conclusions

The CHA2DS2-VASc and HAS-BLED scores enable clinicians to make informed evidence-based treatment decisions and are important tools in overcoming the difficulties that can arise when deciding whether to initiate OAC therapy.

The organizational framework of an FHU is conducive to improving treatment of AF patients; this study could serve as the basis for comparison with similar quality cycles in other units, as evaluating performance is an essential step in improving patient care.
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