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Abstract
Objective: Cardiopulmonary exercise testing is a tool that helps clinicians to establish diagnosis and calculate risk stratification in adults. However, the utility of this test among children with congenital heart disease has not been fully explored. The goal of this study was to describe reference values for cardiopulmonary performance of healthy children.

Methods: This study included 103 apparently healthy children (aged from 4 to 18 years; 61 boys), who underwent cardiopulmonary test using a treadmill protocol. All tests took place at 2240 m above sea level (Mexico City).

Results: Exercise time was 11 ± 4 min. There were no complications. Peak oxygen uptake correlated closely with height in both genders (girls \( r = 0.84 \); boys \( r = 0.84, p < 0.001 \)). A multivariable linear regression model showed that body surface area, exercise time, gender and heart rate reserve were significant predictors of peak oxygen uptake (\( R^2 = 0.815, p < 0.001 \)). Peak oxygen uptake was strongly associated with age even among children younger than thirteen years (\( r = 0.74, p < 0.001 \)).

Conclusion: This study provides physiological values for the major cardiopulmonary variables obtained from exercise testing using a treadmill among healthy children. Cardiopulmonary exercise test can be safely and effectively performed in young children even as young as 4 years old. Variables including age, gender and height are strongly associated with exercise time, peak heart rate and peak oxygen uptake. Regression equations for predicting peak heart rate and peak oxygen uptake are presented as reference values that allow researchers to compare children with heart disease versus those who are healthy.
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Prueba de esfuerzo cardiopulmonar a niños y adolescentes sanos en altitud moderadamente elevada

Resumen
Objetivo: La prueba de esfuerzo cardiopulmonar es una herramienta que ayuda a los médicos a establecer el diagnóstico y estratificar el riesgo en adultos. Sin embargo, su utilidad en los niños no se ha explorado a fondo. El objetivo fue describir los valores de esta prueba en niños sanos en altitud moderadamente alta.

Métodos: Se realizaron pruebas de esfuerzo cardiopulmonar a 103 niños sanos (4 a 18 años, 61 varones) mediante tapiz rodante y a 2240 m sobre el nivel del mar (Ciudad de México).

Resultados: El tiempo de ejercicio fue de 11 ± 4 min, sin complicaciones. El consumo de oxígeno pico se correlacionó estrechamente con la talla en ambos géneros (niñas r = 0.84; niños r = 0.84, p < 0.001). El modelo multivariado que incluyó superficie corporal, tiempo de ejercicio, género y la frecuencia cardíaca de reserva fue un fuerte predictor del consumo de oxígeno pico (R² = 0.815, p < 0.001).

Conclusión: Las pruebas de esfuerzo cardiopulmonar mediante tapiz rodante se pueden realizar con seguridad y eficacia en niños, incluso de 4 años de edad. Variables como la edad, el género y la talla están fuertemente asociados con el tiempo de ejercicio, la frecuencia cardíaca máxima y el consumo de oxígeno pico pueden ayudar, tanto a clínicos como a investigadores, a comparar el comportamiento de niños con cardiopatías frente a los que no las tienen.

© 2013 Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez. Publicado por Masson Doyma México S.A. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Exercise testing is a well recognized tool for assessing cardiovascular function in a wide range of individuals, from patients with heart disease to high performance athletes. In recent years the test has been used for the evaluation of children and adolescents with cardiovascular disease, especially those with congenital heart disease who survive until adulthood. In the past three decades, normal cardiopulmonary values during exercise among adults have been widely documented in the medical literature. However, these values have not been sufficiently described among children, among whom the physiological response to exercise differs considerably from that of adults. The value of cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) lies in its power to make a global assessment of the integrated response to exercise, allowing a comprehensive evaluation of the pulmonary, cardiovascular, hematopoietic, neuropsychological, and skeletal muscle systems. CPET includes the measurement and analysis of work rate, electrocardiographic signals, blood pressure and respiratory gas exchange variables including oxygen uptake (VO₂), carbon dioxide output (VCO₂) and minute ventilation (VE), among others.

These responses are considered useful markers for risk stratification in adult patients with cardiovascular disease, particularly those with heart failure. Appropriate risk stratification requires comparison between the data obtained from the patient versus normal values from healthy individuals, adjusted for gender and age. However, few groups have published data obtained from CPET in children. There is also a lack of studies using a treadmill, which is the most widely used device on the American Continent. Exercise performance on a treadmill is known to be different from that observed on a cycle ergometer. Moreover, the CPET response to exercise at moderately high altitude has not been adequately studied in children. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to describe the cardiopulmonary response to exercise and assess associations among exercise and demographic variables, in a group of apparently healthy children and adolescents.

Methods

Children and adolescents, from 4 to 18 years of age, were evaluated at the pediatric cardiology consultation room, most of them suspected of having a cardiac murmur. Demographic variables recorded included birth date, weight and height, body surface area (BSA) and body mass index (BMI). Once they were evaluated by a cardiology pediatrician and the presence of cardiovascular disease was ruled out, they were asked to perform a cardiopulmonary stress test. Written consents were obtained from the parents or legal guardian of the children. The children’s parents were present during the test, and they were requested to encourage their child to provide a maximal effort. A Schiller CS-200 device with a Trackmaster treadmill was used to perform all exercise tests. An electrocardiographic signal (ECG) was recorded throughout the test. Blood pressure (BP) was measured every minute during exercise, and at the 1st, 3rd, 5th and 8th min of recovery using a calibrated aneroid sphygmomanometer. Cuff size was selected according to the child’s age and arm circumference. An automated medical gas analysis device (PowerCube) was used to measure the volume, airflow and the fractional concentrations of oxygen and carbon dioxide in the exhaled air. Thirty second samples were printed every 10 s. A face mask was used to collect expired air, taking care that it fitted properly and that there were no air leaks during exercise.
A cardiac defibrillator and a fully stocked resuscitation cart were present at all times.

Exercise protocol

All exercise tests were performed at an altitude of 2240 m above sea level. The gas analyzer was calibrated according to the manufacturer specifications before each test was performed. ECG leads were placed according to the Mason-Likar method. Initially, skin was cleaned with an alcohol saturated cotton swab, and gentle abrasion of the skin’s superficial layer was made with a fine abrasive paper. A resting 12-lead ECG and spirometry were performed prior to the test. CPET began with a 3 min resting period, and all subjects performed the same treadmill ramp-protocol, where they were subjected to an increase of 1 MET per minute (ACSM16) (Table 1). Once maximal exercise was achieved, subjects continued to walk for 3 min at 2 km/h at 0% elevation. Following the 3 min walking period, subjects rested in the supine position for an additional 5 min.

Table 1  Treadmill exercise testing protocol (MET per minute).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Speed (km/h)</th>
<th>Elevation (%)</th>
<th>Predicted VO2 (METs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The exercise protocol was designed to obtain an increase of one MET for each stage. The corresponding changes in the speed and incline of the treadmill, were calculated from the equations proposed by the ACSM.

Gas-exchange analysis

The cardiopulmonary response to exercise was evaluated using expired gases, and the following variables were obtained: minute ventilation (VE), respiratory exchange ratio (RER), oxygen uptake (VO2) at the anaerobic threshold (AT) and maximal effort (VO2peak), predicted VO2 peak (VO2peak, pred), ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide slope (VE/VO2), oxygen pulse (PO2), 1/2 half time of VO2 recovery (VO2, T1/2), and cardiac power during exercise (CPE).

Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 15.0 software. Nominal and categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages, and compared using the chi square test or the Fisher exact test. Continuous variables are presented as mean and reference intervals (CI 95%). A two sample t-test for independent variables and one-way ANOVA were used to compare means of those variables with normal Gaussian distribution (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test), and the Mann–Whitney U test was used for numerical variables without normal distribution. Variables were plotted, bivariate analyses were performed, and all r and p values were derived using the Pearson test. Variables that were demonstrated to be statistically significant were included in a multiple regression model (foreword stepwise). All p values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Demographic variables

Data from 103 children (42 girls and 61 boys), ranging in age from 4 to 18 years (mean 11.0 ± 4), were studied. Height, weight, body surface area and body mass index are presented (Table 2), according to age quartile and gender. Five boys (4.9%) and 3 girls (2.9%) were obese (BMI >95th percentile). All CPETs were symptom limited, and fatigue was the cause for cessation in 98% of tests (n = 101, p = ns between girls and boys). One girl expressed chest pain without out any ischemic changes on the ECG, and a 5-year-old boy asked to stop the test prematurely. Ninety-one children (88%) reached an objective maximal effort (RER ≥ 1.1 and/or HRpeak ≥ 85% of predicted HRpeak).
Complications and other findings

All participants performed CPET without any complications. Twelve children exhibited arrhythmias: supraventricular premature beats \((n = 8)\), ventricular ectopy \((n = 6)\), and frequent ventricular ectopy \((n = 2)\), with no statistical differences between genders or age. No significant changes on the ST-T segments were observed.

Standard cardiovascular response to exercise

Cardiovascular variables obtained from the tests are shown in (Table 3). Exercise time was higher in boys. Linear regression showed a significant association between age and exercise time in both girls and boys \((r = 0.64, p < 0.001)\). Among the youngest (children <13 years old), exercise time increased with age \((r = 0.60, p < 0.001)\), but this association was inverse among adolescents \((\geq 13\text{ years old})\) and was not significant \((r = -0.234, p = \text{ns})\). Heart rate at rest decreased with age \((r = -0.54, p < 0.001)\) after adjusting for potential confounders (height, weight, SBPrest and DBPrest), and was higher among girls. HRpeak was lower in boys, and showed a significant correlation with age \((r = 0.33, p = 0.008)\). Among girls, no significant correlation was observed \((p = \text{ns})\). RHR correlated significantly with age \((r = 0.53, p < 0.001)\), especially among boys \((r = 0.60, p < 0.001)\), and also with exercise time \((r = 0.65, p = 0.001)\) for all children. HRR decreased

Analysis of respiratory gas exchange

Data obtained from cardiopulmonary variables are shown in Table 4. RERpeak increased with age and correlated positively with HRpeak and exercise time. Peak oxygen uptake was higher in boys at every age and had strong positive associations with age, weight, height and BSA. However, the correlation between age and VO₂peak was reduced when children were older than 13 years (Fig. 1). We performed a multiple regression model to predict VO₂peak achieved, including age, weight, and height. Among these variables, height was the strongest predictor of VO₂peak, among both boys and girls (Fig. 2) (Table 5).

VO₂ was estimated in the absence of expired gas analysis using exercise test performance variables. The multiple linear regression model included BSA, exercise time, gender and RHR \((R^2 = 0.813, p < 0.001)\) (Fig. 3). VO₂ at the anaerobic

---

**Table 2** Baseline characteristics from 103 healthy children, according to age (quartile).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>4–7 mean (CI 95%)</th>
<th>8–11 mean (CI 95%)</th>
<th>12–14 mean (CI 95%)</th>
<th>15–18 mean (CI 95%)</th>
<th>Total mean (CI 95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong> (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls (15), boys (16)</td>
<td>22.1 (20.1–24.1)</td>
<td>34.1 (29.6–38.7)</td>
<td>49.8 (46.4–53.3)</td>
<td>67.1 (59.3–74.9)</td>
<td>41.3 (37.5–45.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls (17), boys (7)</td>
<td>34.1 (29.6–38.7)</td>
<td>117 (114–121)</td>
<td>135 (130–139)</td>
<td>158 (154–161)</td>
<td>169 (166–172)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls (5), boys (22)</td>
<td>49.8 (46.4–53.3)</td>
<td>158 (154–161)</td>
<td>169 (166–172)</td>
<td>142 (138–147)</td>
<td>169 (166–172)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls (5), boys (16)</td>
<td>67.1 (59.3–74.9)</td>
<td>169 (166–172)</td>
<td>142 (138–147)</td>
<td>169 (166–172)</td>
<td>169 (166–172)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls (42), boys (61)</td>
<td>41.3 (37.5–45.2)</td>
<td>169 (166–172)</td>
<td>169 (166–172)</td>
<td>169 (166–172)</td>
<td>169 (166–172)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height</strong> (cm)</td>
<td>117 (114–121)</td>
<td>135 (130–139)</td>
<td>158 (154–161)</td>
<td>169 (166–172)</td>
<td>169 (166–172)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI</strong> (kg/m²)</td>
<td>16.0 (15.2–16.7)</td>
<td>18.7 (16.8–20.6)</td>
<td>20.0 (19.0–21.0)</td>
<td>23.4 (20.9–25.9)</td>
<td>19.2 (18.3–20.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Differences statistically significant among groups (one way ANOVA, \(p < 0.05\)).

---

**Table 3** Cardiovascular variables during exercise, according to gender and age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>4–7 mean (CI 95%)</th>
<th>8–11 mean (CI 95%)</th>
<th>12–14 mean (CI 95%)</th>
<th>15–18 mean (CI 95%)</th>
<th>Total mean (CI 95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exercise time</strong> (min)</td>
<td>9.5 (8.9–10.0)</td>
<td>10.8 (10.2–11.5)</td>
<td>12.7 (12.1–13.3)</td>
<td>12.2 (11.4–12.9)</td>
<td>11.2 (10.8–11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRrest (bpm)</td>
<td>98 (94–103)</td>
<td>94 (87–102)</td>
<td>83 (78–88)</td>
<td>77 (72–83)</td>
<td>89 (86–92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBPrest (mmHg)</td>
<td>87 (83–90)</td>
<td>95 (92–99)</td>
<td>104 (100–108)</td>
<td>110 (105–115)</td>
<td>98 (95–100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBPpeak (mmHg)</td>
<td>110 (104–115)</td>
<td>120 (115–125)</td>
<td>143 (136–150)</td>
<td>150 (144–155)</td>
<td>129 (125–133)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBPrest (mmHg)</td>
<td>49 (46–52)</td>
<td>60 (57–63)</td>
<td>65 (61–68)</td>
<td>72 (68–76)</td>
<td>60 (58–63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBPpeak (mmHg)</td>
<td>61 (58–65)</td>
<td>70 (66–74)</td>
<td>78 (73–83)</td>
<td>85 (80–91)</td>
<td>73 (70–75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPrest (bpm mmHg 1000)</td>
<td>8.5 (8.0–9.1)</td>
<td>9.0 (8.2–9.8)</td>
<td>8.6 (8.0–9.1)</td>
<td>8.5 (7.7–9.2)</td>
<td>8.6 (8.3–8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPpeak (bpm mmHg 1000)</td>
<td>19.7 (18.5–20.8)</td>
<td>22.1 (20.1–23.3)</td>
<td>26.8 (25.1–28.4)</td>
<td>27.3 (26.0–28.9)</td>
<td>23.7 (22.7–24.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHR (bpm)</td>
<td>81 (73–88)</td>
<td>90 (82–98)</td>
<td>104 (96–111)</td>
<td>106 (99–113)</td>
<td>94 (90–98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRRI (bpm)</td>
<td>85 (74–95)</td>
<td>102 (84–119)</td>
<td>130 (115–146)</td>
<td>144 (125–162)</td>
<td>112 (104–121)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBPEI</td>
<td>1.28 (1.21–1.35)</td>
<td>1.26 (1.22–1.30)</td>
<td>1.38 (1.31–1.46)</td>
<td>1.37 (1.31–1.44)</td>
<td>1.32 (1.29–1.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBPRI</td>
<td>0.91 (0.88–0.95)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.91–0.97)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.85–0.95)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.89–0.97)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.90–0.94)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Differences statistically significant between groups (one way ANOVA, \(p < 0.05\)).

---
Discussion

The main goal of this analysis was to provide CPET reference values for children and adolescents. CPET behavior has been reported by other research groups in children above 8 years using a cycle ergometer. This current study adds information in terms of younger children (beginning at 4 years), and among individuals using a treadmill. However, further studies are needed to achieve a better understanding of cardiopulmonary performance at younger ages. Although energy transformation is closely correlated with tissue oxygen uptake, this association can vary widely, since workload is only one of several factors that require higher energy expenditure. Traditionally, in a treadmill exercise protocol, speed and elevation increase with time, so ET can be used as a surrogate variable for workload. In our study, children reached maximal effort at 11 ± 2 min, close to what is recommended by various guidelines on exercise testing. Contrary to what is observed among adults, ET increased with age in our sample of children. The maximum heart rate is traditionally described as a major determinant of VO2 at peak exercise. Among adults, HRpeak decreases with age \((r = -0.40)\), and when HRpeak is impaired it can reflect chronotropic incompetence, probably as part of the aging process. Interestingly, we found that among children, HRpeak and age were positively associated. HRpeak was slightly lower in our population than those reported by Ten Harkel et al., and this could be attributed in part to differences in altitude between The Netherlands (at sea level) vs. Mexico City (2240 m above sea level).

Performing exercise at high altitude can result in a reduced sympathetic nervous system effect on heart rate. Other factors affecting maximal heart rate in response to dynamic exercise include age, gender, level of fitness,

\[ R^2 \text{ value} \quad SE \quad p \text{ value} \]
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Exercise time in all (min)} & = 7.81 + (\text{age in years} \times 0.32) \\
\text{Exercise time in girls (min)} & = 7.69 + (\text{age in years} \times 0.28) \\
\text{Exercise time in boys (min)} & = 8.01 + (\text{age in years} \times 0.31) \\
\text{Predicted HR peak in boys (bpm)} & = 166 + (\text{age in years} \times 1.27) \\
\text{Predicted-peak VO2 in girls (ml/min) = [height (cm) × 23.25] – 1987} \\
\text{Predicted-peak VO2 in boys (ml/min) = [height (cm) × 28.35] – 2370} \\
\text{Achieved VO2 (ml/min) = (BSA × 1192) + (ET × 89.1) + (gender × 233) + (RHR × 5) – 2013} \\
\text{VE/VCO2 slope} & = 33 – (\text{age in years} \times 0.49)
\end{align*}
\]

* Girls = 1 and boys = 2.
cardiovascular disease, bed rest, type of exercise, and the extent to which maximal exertion was achieved. We observed that RERpeak values rose significantly with age, exercise time and HRpeak.

Children in the current study reached higher values for RERpeak (1.15) than those reported by Ten Harkel et al.9 (1.01), or among patients with congenital heart disease26 (RERpeak = 1.10). VO2peak increased closely with height, and the regression equation that we obtained to estimate VO2peak paralleled that proposed by Cooper et al. for children using a cycle ergometer (r = 0.99, p < 0.001). The current equations to predict VO2peak were superior to those more commonly used based on age only6 (r=0.27, p=ns). We also observed that children reached higher RERpeak values and lower VO2peak values than those reported by other groups. This could be due to environmental conditions such as air pollution, altitude or even individual variables such as genetics, height or weight.

Among adults, it is common in clinical practice to estimate VO2peak indirectly using one of several regression equations; most of these equations use only the work rate achieved. However, these regression equations provide only modest accuracy (r values ranging from 0.60 to 0.90), due to variability introduced that is attributable to such factors as habituation to exercise, fitness level, handrail holding and the exercise protocol used. We found that the estimation of VO2 in children was improved by adding other variables including body surface area, gender and heart rate reserve (R2 = 0.82, p < 0.001). As other authors have described, we found that VO2 values correlate better with height than with age or weight in children. Therefore, it appears that VO2 is better expressed in terms of BSA (ml O2/ m2/min), than in terms of weight (ml O2/ kg/min), based on a higher regression coefficient (r = 0.84 vs r = 0.80, respectively). Ten Harkel et al. proposed that HR can be an inexpensive surrogate for exercise capacity, although we did not find a strong correlation between HRpeak and VO2peak. The VE/VCO2 slope correlated positively with age and VO2peak, and was lower than has been reported previously in children.

These results suggest some potentially useful avenues for future investigation, including a comparison of cardiopulmonary variables between children with congenital heart disease and healthy populations, assessing physiological phenomena related to the cardiorespiratory response to exercise in children and to establish new prognostic variables in children with heart disease. Among adults, CPET variables are frequently used to help make decisions regarding listing for heart transplantation. More information is needed to determine the predictive power of CPET responses among children with heart disease. Another
possible application of these data is the comparison of normal standards from CPET to those obtained from young high-performance athletes.  

Limitations
We studied a group of children who were initially referred to a pediatric cardiologist to assess cardiovascular disease. Although illness was ruled out, the sample was no doubt biased. There are some variables that could provide more information about exercise performance, such as air pollution levels, socioeconomic, dietary, or other factors that were not considered.

Conclusions
This study provides physiological response to cardiopulmonary exercise testing using a treadmill among children without heart disease. CPET can be safely and effectively performed in children, even as young as 4 years of age. Age, gender and height are strongly associated with exercise time, heart rate (peak and reserve) and oxygen uptake. Regression equations to predict peak heart rate, VO2peak, and VE/VCO2 slope are presented as reference values to permit comparisons between children with heart disease and those who are healthy.
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