Thirty-three cases of trichilemmal horn have been described in the English-language literature.1

Case Description

An 82-year-old woman with no relevant personal history presented to our hospital’s dermatology department with an asymptomatic, rapidly growing lesion in the occipital region of the scalp, present for several weeks. The patient had several trichilemmal cysts on her scalp and reported that before developing this new lesion she had had a cyst in the same location that had been drained surgically.

Physical examination revealed a tumor in the form of a cutaneous horn, with a hyperkeratotic center of about 2 cm in diameter at the base and 1 cm in length, located on a fleshy erythematous base (Figure 1). On the basis of these
findings, a clinical diagnosis of keratoacanthoma was made and the lesion removed.

Histopathology revealed a well-delimited crateriform lesion with a keratotic center extending to the external surface of the skin and a base with a hyperplastic squamous epithelium showing trichilemmal keratinization (Figure 2). The epithelium was composed of a peripheral layer of palisaded cuboidal cells resting on a basement membrane and several overlying layers of large squamous cells with abundant pale cytoplasm (Figure 3); these cells underwent abrupt keratinization without the formation of a granular cell layer, giving rise to dense orthokeratotic eosinophilic keratin (Figure 4). Small structures resembling miniature trichilemmal cysts were observed at the base of the tumor. A diagnosis of trichilemmal horn or trichilemmal keratosis was established.

The lesion had been removed completely and thus no further treatment was required.
Discussion

Trichilemmal horn is an uncommon, benign follicular neoplasm with trichilemmal differentiation. The 2 essential characteristics of the tumor are its clinical presentation in the form of a cutaneous horn and its histology, which shows trichilemmal keratinization at the base of the lesion.

This follicular neoplasm was first described in 1976 by Headington,2 who named the lesion trichilemmal keratosis. The term trichilemmal horn was first coined by Brownstein3 in 1979 in a study that described 19 cases of this tumor and defined its essential characteristics. Since that time, 34 cases have been published in the literature, including the one published here (Table).3-10

It presents clinically as an exophytic keratotic lesion. While it can appear on any part of the body, the most common site is the head—15 cases at this site have been published, 12 of them on the scalp and 3 on the face. This is followed by lesions on the limbs, with 13 cases reported, 9 of them by Brownstein, making the limbs the most
common site in his series. Lesions on the palms of the hands have also been reported.4

Trichilemmal horns can develop at any age—the age range of published cases is from 16 to 83 years—although they are most common in patients over the age of 50 years. Approximately two-thirds of the cases described have been in women.

The size of the lesions varies, and is usually between 1 cm and 2 cm in diameter. Michal et al,5 however, found 4 cases of gigantic trichilemmal horns that reached lengths of up to 25 cm.

The histological characteristics of this tumor are those described above: a squamous cell epithelium composed of a row of palisaded cuboidal cells with the remaining layers composed of large squamous keratinocytes with abundant glycogen in the cytoplasm that causes them to take on a pale color. This epithelium keratinizes abruptly, without forming a granular cell layer, and gives rise to a dense orthokeratotic eosinophilic keratin. This type of keratinization is known as trichilemmal keratinization.

There has been some discussion about the possibility that human papilloma virus (HPV) may be involved in the pathogenesis of this tumor because intranuclear inclusion bodies morphologically similar to HPV have been found in several electron microscopy studies of the neoplasm, such as those carried out by Kimura.6 The results of immunohistochemical staining with anti-HPV antibodies, however, have invariably been negative7 and such intranuclear inclusion bodies have not been found consistently.8

Poblet et al9 described 2 cases in which, as in ours, the lesion developed after an attempt to treat a preexisting trichilemmal cyst. Also as in ours, the patients had a personal or family history of multiple trichilemmal cysts. The authors concluded that in some cases this tumor may be caused by the rupture and subsequent marsupialization of the wall of a trichilemmal cyst. Rather than disappearing, as it does in other cases, the epithelium continues to proliferate, resulting in an exophytic lesion with the morphology of a cutaneous horn. We believe that this may have been the origin of the tumor in the case we describe.
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