Variation in biofilm formation among blood and oral isolates of *Candida albicans* and *Candida dubliniensis*
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**ABSTRACT**

*Introduction:* Biofilm production is considered a potential virulence factor of some *Candida* species. For this reason, an understanding of biofilm behavior of *Candida albicans* and its closely related species *Candida dubliniensis* is key to the development of effective preventive measures for invasive and oral candidiasis. The aim of this study was to compare the capacity of biofilm production by blood and oral isolates of *C. albicans* and *C. dubliniensis* using polystyrene, flat-bottomed 100-well microtiter plates.

*Methods:* A total of 47 isolates, consisting of 28 *C. albicans* (16 oral and 12 blood isolates) and 19 *C. dubliniensis* (11 oral and 8 blood isolates) were compared for their biofilm forming ability under aerobic and static conditions. XTT reduction assay was used to quantify the sessile growth.

*Results:* All tested isolates produced biofilm, measured as XTT metabolic activity. Biofilm formation by *C. albicans* isolates was statistically significantly higher than biofilm formation by *C. dubliniensis* isolates at 24 h (*P* = 0.03) and 48 h (*P* = 0.0001). There was a higher percentage (41.7%) of high producers of biofilms among *C. albicans* blood isolates than among oral isolates (31.3%), without statistically significant differences.

*Conclusions:* This capability may allow *C. albicans* and *C. dubliniensis* to maintain their oral ecological niches as commensal or pathogenic microorganisms and can be a major virulence factor during invasive candidiasis. However, the differences in biofilm production among isolates should be taken into account when the anti-biofilm activity of antifungal agents or other virulence factors are tested *in vitro*.

© 2011 Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

**Evaluación de la capacidad de formación de biopelícula de aislamientos clínicos de *Candida albicans* y *Candida dubliniensis***

*Resumen:* El desarrollo de biopelículas o biocapas se considera un factor de virulencia potencial de algunas especies de *Candida*. Una comprensión mejor del comportamiento de las biopelículas de *Candida albicans* y de la especie cercana *Candida dubliniensis* es clave para desarrollar medida preventivas eficaces de las candidiasis superficiales (orales) e invasoras. El objetivo de este trabajo ha sido comparar la capacidad de producir biopelícula por parte de los aislamientos orales y de sangre de *C. albicans* y *C. dubliniensis* en placas de microtitulación de poliestireno de 100 pocillos con fondo plano.

*Métodos:* Se estudiaron 47 aislamientos: 28 *C. albicans* (16 orales y 12 hemáticos) y 19 *C. dubliniensis* (11 orales y 8 hemáticos). Se empleó una prueba de cuantificación de la actividad metabólica de las biopelículas (reducción de la sal de tetrazolio denominada XTT).

*Resultados:* Todos los aislamientos mostraron actividad metabólica pero la formación de biopelículas por los aislamientos de *C. albicans* era significativamente mayor que por los de *C. dubliniensis* a 24 h (*P* = 0.03) y 48 h (*P* = 0.0001). Eran más numerosos, los aislamientos de *C. albicans* muy productores de biopelícula procedentes de sangre (41.7%) que de boca (31.3%) pero las diferencias no eran significativas.
Introduction

Invasive candidiasis represents about 10% of nosocomial invasive infections. Although other species, such as Candida parapsilosis, Candida glabrata or Candida tropicalis, are being isolated with increasing frequency, Candida albicans is the most frequent aetiological agent of candidiasis. Many candidiasis are associated with prostheses, catheters and other indwelling medical devices, where these microorganisms develop biofilms. The presence of extracellular polymers and a different cellular phenotype, called sessile, is an important feature in the structure of these microbial communities. Biofilms impede the actions of the immune system and other defence mechanisms and become recalcitrant to current antifungal treatment. C. albicans is also an important pathogen of the oral cavity and other mucosae. This yeast has the ability to grow under diverse oral environmental conditions (e.g. unhygienic dentures, xerostomia) and/or systemic factors, such as diabetes and immunodeficiency. The presence of dentures that are overlaid with yeasts, xerostomia) and/or systemic factors, such as diabetes and immunodeficiency. The presence of dentures that are overlaid with proteins and other oral components encourages the development of Candida biofilms and denture stomatitis.

Candida dubliniensis is an emerging species associated to oral candidiasis, mainly in HIV-infected patients. The frequency of deep-seated infections caused by C. dubliniensis is low but probably underestimated because C. dubliniensis is closely related to C. albicans and many laboratories are not capable of differentiating between both species. C. dubliniensis shares many properties with C. albicans and, in addition, shows an important capacity to develop resistance to fluconazole and other antifungal agents under repeated exposure.

The aim of the current study has been to compare the capacity of biofilm production by blood and oral isolates of C. albicans and C. dubliniensis from infected patients.

Methods

Microorganisms

A total of 28 C. albicans (16 oral isolates and 12 blood isolates) and 19 C. dubliniensis (11 oral isolates and 8 blood isolates) from the Laboratorio de Micología Médica at the University of the Basque Country were studied. The clinical origin of the isolates and the infections caused have been described previously. Two strains from the National Collection of Pathogenic Fungi (NCPF), C. albicans NCPF 3153 and C. dubliniensis NCPF 3949, as well as C. albicans NCPF 3153 hyphae-defective mutant CA-2 (kindly donated by Professor Antonio Cassone, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy) were included as reference strains. The identity of all isolates was confirmed by conventional mycological methods, such as the germ tube test in serum, microscopic morphology, chlamydoconidia production in corn meal agar with Tween 80, and carbon source assimilation with the commercial kit ID 32 C (bioMérieux, France). All isolates were screened for their ability to grow on Sabouraud dextrose agar at 45°C for 48 h, and in the chromogenic media CHROM-Pal’s medium and CHROMID Candida. The reactivity with a specific polyclonal anti-C. dubliniensis antibody by an immunofluorescence assay and by the Bichro-Dubli latex agglutination test (Fumouze Diagnostics, France) were also tested.

The identities of those isolates classified as C. dubliniensis were confirmed by means of a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the specific primers CDBF28-f (5'-AAATGGGTTGTTGCGAAATTA-3') and CDBR110-r (5'-GTTGCGATTGCCAATAGCCTTA-3') described by Kanbe et al., which amplify topoisomerase II gene, giving a DNA product size of 816 bp.

Preparation of Candida suspensions

Prior to each experiment, yeast isolates were aerobically cultured at 37°C for 24 h on Sabouraud dextrose agar (Difco Laboratories, USA) and a loopful of growth was inoculated in YPD broth (yeast extract 10 g, peptone 20 g, glucose 20 g, distilled water 1 l). After 24 h, the yeasts were harvested, washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.2) and suspended to a concentration of 10⁷ cells/ml. This cell concentration was selected because previous workers have demonstrated an optimal degree of biofilm formation of C. albicans and C. dubliniensis at this particular concentration.

Production and quantification of Candida biofilms

Biofilm formation was measured using a colorimetric method based on the XTT reduction according to Ramage et al. Briefly, C. albicans and C. dubliniensis isolates were suspended in RPMI 1640 broth supplemented with L-glutamine and buffered with MOPS, and 100 μl of standard cell suspensions of yeasts (10⁷ cells/ml), prepared as above, were transferred into each well of a flat-bottomed 100-well microtitre plate (BioScreen, Finland). XTT (2,3-bis(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide) (Sigma, USA) was prepared as a saturated solution in 0.5 g/l in Ringer’s lactate and the solution was filter sterilised using a 0.22-μm-pore-size filter (Sarstedt, Germany), aliquoted and then stored at −70°C until required. Before each assay, an aliquot of stock XTT was thawed and the electron-coupling agent menadione, 10 mM prepared in acetone (Sigma) was added to a final concentration of 1 μM. The biofilms were washed 3 times with 100 μl of PBS to remove loosely adherent cells. Afterwards, 100 μl of the XTT–menadione solution was added to each biofilm and control wells to measure background XTT reduction levels, and the plates were incubated in the dark for 1 h at 37°C. The colour changes in the XTT reduction assay (which directly correlate with the metabolic activity of the cells within the biofilm) were then measured using a BioScreen C plate incubator (BioScreen) at 492 nm. Measurements were repeated at least six times each on different days. The optical densities (OD) corresponding to the biofilm metabolic activities of both Candida species were compared calculating the Student’s t-test using the program SPSS (IBM, USA). Biofilm production was scored and divided into 6 categories, according to absorbance at 492 nm (A)transmittance (T), as 6+ (A) or T ≤ 5%; 5+ (A = 1–1.29/T = 6–10%); 4+ (A = 0.70–0.99/T = 11–20%); 3+ (A = 0.40–0.69/T = 21–40%); 2+ (A = 0.20–0.39/T = 41–60%); and 1+ (A < 0.20/T > 60%). Categories 6+ and 5+ included those high producers of biofilm isolates and categories 2+ and 1+ included poor or non-producers of biofilm. Categories were compared using the Chi-squared test with Yates’ correction when necessary.
Differences between the species were considered to be statistically significant at \( P < 0.05 \).

## Results

All tested isolates of *C. albicans* and *C. dubliniensis* produced biofilm on polystyrene (Tables 1 and 2 and Fig. 1). However, a great variability in biofilm production was observed in both species. The biofilm metabolic activities (A492 nm) of *C. albicans* isolates at 24 h ranged between 0.291 and 1.506 (mean 0.869 ± 0.352) and those of *C. dubliniensis* isolates ranged between 0.438 and 1.145 (mean 0.688 ± 0.199) (Table 1). *C. albicans* blood isolates showed a higher metabolic activity in 24 h biofilms than in oral isolates (0.955 ± 0.379 vs. 0.805 ± 0.328, respectively, \( P = 0.28 \)). A similar pattern was observed for *C. dubliniensis* (0.763 ± 0.195 vs. 0.634 ± 0.192, respectively, \( P = 0.17 \)).

Biofilm metabolic activities of *C. albicans* isolates at 48 h were higher than at 24 h (0.945 ± 0.378 vs. 0.869 ± 0.352, respectively, \( P = 0.44 \)) with independence of the origin of these isolates (blood vs. oral isolates: 1.007 ± 0.401 vs. 0.900 ± 0.366, respectively, \( P = 0.48 \)). However, at 48 h the biofilms of oral isolates of *C. dubliniensis* showed more metabolic activity (0.640 ± 0.163) than the biofilms of blood isolates (0.522 ± 0.198) (\( P = 0.19 \) (Table 2 and Fig. 1B)). Most *C. dubliniensis* isolates belonged to genotype I, except isolates 00-133 and 00-135, which were from the genotype II (Fig. 1). There were no statistically significant differences in biofilm production between both genotypes.

*C. albicans* included a non-statistically significant higher number of isolates classified as high producers of biofilm than *C. dubliniensis* (\( P = 0.86 \)) at 48 h. Ten out of 28 *C. albicans* isolates (35.7%) were classified as high producers of biofilm at 24 h. Of these, 5 were from blood (41.7% of the blood isolates) and 5 from the oral cavity (31.3% of the oral isolates) (\( P = 0.86 \)). This category of high producers of biofilm only grouped 2 out of 19 *C. dubliniensis* isolates at 24 h, but this difference between isolates of both species was not statistically significant (\( P = 0.109 \)). However, at 48 h, 10 out of 28 *C. albicans* isolates (35.7%) and 0 out of 19 *C. dubliniensis* isolates were classified as high producers of biofilm (\( P = 0.01 \)). At this incubation time, 5 out of 12 (41.7%) blood isolates of *C. albicans* were high producers of biofilm in comparison to 5 out of 16 oral isolates (31.3%) (\( P = 0.86 \)).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Biofilm production (XTT metabolic activity)</th>
<th>Blood</th>
<th>Oral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>C. albicans</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.007 ± 0.401</td>
<td>0.900 ± 0.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. dubliniensis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.522 ± 0.198</td>
<td>0.640 ± 0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference strains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. albicans</em> NCPF 3153</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.550 ± 0.024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. dubliniensis</em> NCPF 3940</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.634 ± 0.060</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. albicans</em> CA-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.112 ± 0.008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Biofilm</th>
<th>Species (no. of isolates included and percentage)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. albicans</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blood 48 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High producers of biofilm</td>
<td>6+</td>
<td>2 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producers of biofilm</td>
<td>5+</td>
<td>3 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low producers and non-producers of biofilm</td>
<td>4+</td>
<td>4 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12 (100%)</td>
<td>16 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The phenomenon of biofilm formation by microbes on inert surfaces has been extensively studied in bacteria and to a lesser extent in fungi, and there appears to be a direct relationship between the capability of the organisms to form a biofilm and their pathogenicity. However, few studies have compared biofilm production among *C. albicans* bloodstream and oral isolates and much less, between oral and blood isolates of *C. dubliniensis*. The current results confirm that most *C. albicans* and *C. dubliniensis* oral and blood isolates develop biofilms on polystyrene plates. We used the colorimetric method based on the XTT reduction in the current study because it correlates well with other quantitative methods, such as ATP or CFU assays used in cross-comparison of species variation in biofilm production.29 The XTT method is more versatile and less-time consuming and is also particularly suited for assays using microtitre plates that allow the screening a large number of isolates.

Shin et al.28 observed that a very low percentage of isolates of *C. albicans* produced biofilm, regardless of the invasive (bloodstream) or non-invasive origin of the isolates (8% vs. 7%). Conversely, the biofilm production was high when isolates from other species were tested, and those from blood and deep tissues were statistically significantly higher producers than those isolates from other clinical specimens. Kumar and Menon,30 using the same medium and method for biofilm production, reported that 11 out of 18 *C. albicans* isolates (61%) were biofilm producers. Three out of 7 bloodstream isolates (43%) produced biofilm. Non-*C. albicans* isolates were higher producers of biofilm than those of *C. albicans*. These authors included 5 reference strains of *C. dubliniensis*, observing that all of them produced biofilm. In contrast, other authors have reported that isolates of *C. albicans* produced statistically significantly more biofilm than other *Candida* species.27,29,31–34

All isolates in our study showed a variable capability to produce biofilm, being distributed into 3 categories (from high to low producers of biofilm) considering their metabolic activities, and those isolated from the bloodstream were non-statistically significantly higher producers of biofilm than oral ones.

These discrepancies could be associated with differences in the methodology used, to the potential variability associated to the geographic origin of the isolates, or to other isolate-associated factors. Shin et al.28 and Kumar and Menon30 used a Sabouraud dextrose broth, and a RPMI-based broth was used in our comparison. Sabouraud dextrose broth with a high content of glucose (8%) has been claimed to simulate the hyperglycaemic milieu found in those patients receiving total parenteral nutrition.28,30 However, RPMI-based broth is a better promoter of filamentation than Sabouraud.
Fig. 1. Distribution of *Candida albicans* and *Candida dubliniensis* isolates on the basis of their capability for producing biofilms at 37 °C for 24 h (A) and 48 h (B).

dextrose broth, a fact that is essential for the first step (adhesion) of biofilm production and can be influenced by different cultural and host factors. This influence of the RPMI in germ tube and hypha development could explain the production of biofilm by all the *C. albicans* and *C. dubliniensis* isolates tested in the current study.

The geographical origin of isolates in the different studies can influence the biofilm production capability, as has been observed for other phenotypic traits of *Candida* isolates, such as virulence factors or in vitro antifungal susceptibilities. Li et al. studied isolates from Korea, and Kumar and Menon from India, while in the current study all *C. albicans* isolates were from Spain. Li et al. studying Canadian isolates of *C. albicans*, reported that natural clones and clonal lineages of *C. albicans* exhibited extensive quantitative variations in biofilm formation. Something similar was described by Borecka-Melkusova and Bujdakova in *C. albicans* and *C. dubliniensis* isolates from Slovakia. Thein et al. also reported that growth and virulence of the different species of *Candida* were highly dependent on the isolates chosen for each study, hence it is important to use multiple clinical isolates and strains for the same species to draw firm conclusions in this regard.
There was a high variability in the biofilm production among C. albicans isolates when the interspecies variation of C. albicans and C. dubliniensis isolates was studied. This variability was lower among C. dubliniensis isolates. Kuhn et al., observed that XTT results can vary in accordance with the different sensitivity of C. albicans and Candida parapsilosis to tetrazoium salts. C. dubliniensis is more closely related to C. albicans than Candida parapsilosis and probably has a similar sensitivity to XTT than C. albicans. Nevertheless, we found that both Candida species, C. albicans and C. dubliniensis, exhibited good biofilm forming capability on polystyrene surfaces under aerobic conditions. These findings are in agreement with those of Ramage et al., who also reported that C. dubliniensis exhibited good biofilm growth on the surface of polystyrene plates.

One of the key morphogenesis factors for the phase transition in these dimorphic fungi is the nature of the environment, as the hypha growth is promoted by low oxygen and, nutrient starvation, as occurs in this aerobic and static conditions assay. Such a phase transition is dependent upon strain as well as species characteristics, as shown here by the CA-2 hypha-deficient strain of C. albicans, which always exists in the yeast phase, irrespective of its environmental milieu. Both species formed heterogeneous biofilms with strain variations in their morphology and XTT metabolic activity, as has been previously described by Henriques et al.

In conclusion, there are important differences in biofilm production by C. albicans and C. dubliniensis isolates. These differences should be taken into account when the anti-biofilm activity of antifungal agents or other virulence factors are tested in vitro. This capability for biofilm development may enable C. albicans and C. dubliniensis to maintain their oral ecological niches as commensal microorganisms and can be a major virulence factor during invasive candidiasis with important clinical repercussions.
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