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Surgery of the sympathetic nervous system has gained importance in recent times basically due to the good results of videothoracoscopic surgery for primary hyperhidrosis. It has gone from being an operation performed sporadically to one of the most requested in our area of expertise. With minimally invasive surgery, the morbidity has been reduced considerably and it has generated a call effect, which has filled the theatres of thoracic surgery in our hospitals. This increased demand is due to the operation being very effective and efficient and has proven to be the best therapeutic option in patients with palmar and bilateral axillary hyperhidrosis. This demand, although to a lesser extent, also stems from patients affected by other disorders such as Raynaud’s disease, flushing, abdominal pain due to cancer of the pancreas or chronic pancreatitis, reflex sympathetic dystrophy, arrhythmias or anginal syndromes.

It has not only generated great expectation in the general public, but has also aroused a high interest in the scientific community, in such a way that, for example, on performing a bibliographic search on PubMed about this topic, results returned 428 studies in the last 9 years as opposed to the 269 studies found in the last decade of the twentieth century. A scientific society has been created for surgery of the sympathetic nervous system, the International Society of Sympathetic Surgery (ISSS); and in the most recent thoracic surgery symposium in the World Against Sympathectomy Website.

Therefore, we are faced with an emerging disease that has generated great interest on the part of thoracic surgeons and has greatly increased its presence in the operating theatre. There is a continuous attempt at standardising the technique and nomenclature of the operation and the creation of clinical practices as to where the sympathetic chain should be interrupted to achieve the best result with the least number of side effects. There is even discussion as to whether the nerve should be excised, dissected, ramicotomy performed or clamped. In the last international ISSS symposium on hyperhidrosis, it was recommended that all the groups performing this type of surgery follow a unified protocol with regards to the operation performed, the follow-up, recording of complication and side effects. New terminology was proposed, so that it is referred to as G (1, 2, 3, 4 or 5) if the corresponding sympathetic ganglion was excised or dissected and R (1, 2, 3, 4 or 5) if the nervous interruption is performed on the corresponding costal arch (“R” from “rib”).

Equally, although there is no consensus, it was indicated that the sympathectomy or sympathicotomia should be performed in R2 or G1 for facial rubefaction, in R3 or G2 for palmar hyperhidrosis and in R4-R5 or G3-G4 for axillary hyperhidrosis. This recommendation is based on group of very wide series, although there are no scientific studies that have found significant statistical differences neither with the results nor with the incidence of the side effects.

On the other hand, this surgery, especially for hyperhidrosis and facial reddening, is the one that on a percentage basis generates more demands and complaints from the patients, even with medico-legal connotations. Despite that the majority of the patients show a very high degree of satisfaction, the presence of a patient operated for hyperhidrosis with important compensatory sweating that repeatedly manifest their dissatisfaction to the surgeon is a very annoying situation with an intractable solution. There are even forums on the Internet that constantly manifest their discomfort with this type of surgery in a violent and insulting tone, for example, the World Against Sympathectomy Website.

It is therefore, of great importance and should be the norm to perfectly inform sympathectomy candidates of all the possible side effects of surgery, especially compensatory sweating, which is the basic cause of dissatisfaction. On this point, supposedly reversible techniques are being developed, such as the use of clipping instead of dissecting the nerve. This technique has gained many followers all over the world despite that there are still few studies that consistently endorse the reversibility of the operation once the clip is removed from the sympathetic ganglion. Published experiences regarding removing of the clip is varied. Most authors have obtained improvement results ranging from 52 to 100%; however, other authors do not achieve an improvement at all with the removal.
Something similar occurs with the reconstruction of the thoracic sympathetic chain; there are few studies and with varied results, although Telaranta, Resifeld or Lin, through the use of the sural nerve or grafting with the intercostal nerve have reported good results.

In summary, we are faced with a new disorder that is being attended massively in our hospitals and needs a moment of contemplation. What are we doing? Are we doing it properly? What are the future implications in these patients of dorsal sympathetic denervation? For the first 2 questions, we could find the answer in the new clinical guidelines and scientific society norms and with the publication of more studies, randomised systematic studies, reviews and meta-analyses. However, it is perhaps the latter of these that implies greater consideration. To date, sufficient importance has not been placed on the long term effects that could cause dorsal sympathectomy, and the effects on lung function, heart function, skin colouring and psychological state are being studied, among others; the most important being the first 2.

The consequences of sympathetic denervation after a dorsal sympathectomy on lung function have been studied on several occasions and reductions in forced vital capacity, forced expiratory flow in the first second and maximum mesoexpiratory flow have been found, but with no clinical significance. It therefore seems that, despite sympathetic innervation being scarce, it directly influences motor tone, especially of the fine respiratory tracts, which cause a light obstructive pattern after the operation and favours bronchial hyperreactivity. It is of great interest to know the results of the research being carried out to recognise the long term effects.

Something similar occurs with heart function, the sympathectomy in the short term causes bradycardia due to a lack of sympathetic stimulation to the heart. Several cases of myocardial infarction in the short term causes bradycardia due to a lack of sympathetic hyperreactivity.

To date, sufficient importance has not been placed on the long term effects that could cause dorsal sympathectomy, and the effects on lung function, heart function, skin colouring and psychological state are being studied, among others; the most important being the first 2.
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