Rationale

In recent years there has been a progressive rise in the number of people who travel by air. According to data from the International Civil Aviation Organization, 1647 million people traveled by air in 2000 and, despite problems related to security restrictions and severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), it is anticipated that the number of passengers will increase annually by 4.4% until 2015.1 More than 2 million air traffic operations were handled during 2005 in airports managed by the Spanish aviation authority (Aeropuertos Españoles y Navegación Aérea, AENA), representing travel by 179 million passengers.2 Those figures correspond to a 29% increase in the number of passengers since 2000, with an annual increase of 6%.2 In addition, advances in the monitoring and treatment of many chronic respiratory diseases have allowed changes in the lifestyle of patients. Thus, patients are now able to consider leisure and professional activities that were not possible some years ago.

Although adverse respiratory events as a result of air travel are not common, this form of transport does present potential risks.3 Data from 120 airline companies forming part of the International Air Transport Association (IATA) show that between 1977 and 1984 there were 577 deaths in flight, corresponding to 0.31 deaths per million passengers or 25.1 deaths per million takeoffs.4 Respiratory complications represented the third highest known cause of death (7%) after cardiac causes (65%) and deaths due to cancer (9%). In addition, it was noteworthy that while there was prior knowledge of the presence of heart disease in only 22% of deaths due to cardiac events, there was prior knowledge in 46% of those due to respiratory disease, suggesting that there are problems in the assessment of patients prior to the flight or in their in-flight care.3

Aside from fatal events, respiratory symptoms are responsible for a good proportion of the emergencies that occur on board aircraft. Analysis of all 2322 cases in which the first-aid kit was used on commercial aircraft belonging to the IATA between August 1984 and July 1988 showed that chest pain and dyspnea were 2 of the 3 most common causes, along with loss of consciousness.5,6 Likewise, 62% of passengers who required medical assistance had a known medical condition associated with the episode that occurred on board the aircraft,6 further indicating the importance of careful assessment prior to flight. Along similar lines, a service offering the assistance of experts by radio during in-flight emergencies received 8450 calls in 2001, of which 11% corresponded to respiratory problems.7,8 Thus, respiratory problems may represent up to 11% of in-flight emergencies.

In response to this situation, various guidelines and recommendations have been prepared by scientific societies or the airline companies themselves.7,9-17 However, little scientific information supported by a high level of evidence is available in this field, meaning that the majority of the recommendations are based solely upon expert consensus. In fact, in recent years, conflicting results have been reported using the regimens recommended in previous guidelines. Furthermore, there is a local problem generated by differences in the legislation and the wide range of criteria, resources, and attitudes of the different airline companies.

The aim of these guidelines is to define assessment protocols for patients with chronic respiratory disease intending to travel by plane that are adapted to the situation in Spain and the most recent available data. In addition, the guidelines aim to establish specific recommendations for the most common respiratory diseases.

Environmental Conditions on Commercial Flights

Extensive information is available on respiratory physiology during air travel in both healthy individuals and patients.10-12 Some of these detailed reviews of
environmental conditions and their control are published by the airlines themselves and are available on the Internet.

It is worth remembering that the atmosphere surrounding the Earth’s crust is made up of different layers or strata: the troposphere, the stratosphere, the mesosphere, and the exosphere. The layer closest to the Earth is the troposphere, which extends from sea level to 9144 m (30 000 feet) at the poles and to 18 288 m (60 000 feet) at the equator (Appendix 1). Today’s commercial aircraft fly within this zone. Atmospheric pressure depends on the column of air above the measurement point; consequently, the higher the altitude, the lower the pressure. Since the reduction in atmospheric pressure is logarithmic (Figure 1), at lower levels small changes in altitude produce substantial changes in pressure. Thus, at 6096 m (20 000 feet) the atmospheric pressure is less than half that at sea level.

The composition of the troposphere is constant and contains approximately 78% nitrogen and 21% oxygen. Since the partial pressure of a gas is a function of its concentration and the total pressure, oxygen tension is directly dependent upon altitude and drops exponentially as altitude increases (Figure 2). This hypoxia is the cause of the limitations and risks faced by mountaineers and also of acclimatization problems in high-altitude populations. In addition, adaptation to this type of environment is affected by the amount of exercise that is performed.

In terms of the physiologic response of the human body, the atmosphere can be divided into three zones: the physiologic zone, the physiologically deficient zone, and the zone equivalent to space. The physiologic zone is where the human body is well adapted and where the oxygen level is sufficient to maintain normal processes. This zone extends from sea level to an altitude of 3000 m. Nevertheless, rapid changes in altitude within this zone can cause minor problems due to the expansion of gases trapped within the body. The physiologically deficient zone extends from 3000 to 15 200 m. In that zone, the reduction in barometric pressure causes a critical environmental hypoxia, necessitating the use of supplementary oxygen at higher altitudes. From a physiologic point of view, space begins at an altitude of 15 000 m. In this zone, the low ambient pressure means that humans are unable to survive even with supplementary oxygen and they require pressurized suits. Above 19 355 m the barometric pressure is lower than the vapor pressure of water at 37°C and body fluids evaporate.

Commercial aircraft generally fly at an altitude of around 11 000 to 12 200 m (36 000-40 000 feet). If the internal pressure of the aircraft were to be directly dependent upon the external atmospheric pressure the environment would be incompatible with life. Consequently, aircraft must be pressurized, that is, have elevated pressure compared with that of the external environment. To achieve this, they take ambient air and compress it. Since the gas heats up in this process, it must subsequently be cooled. The pressure is controlled according to the quantity of air injected and through the use of escape valves set to the desired pressure. To support the pressure difference, the structure of the aircraft must be reinforced and that increases its weight. As a result of both the increased weight and the additional energy required to compress the air, cabin pressurization increases aircraft fuel consumption and thereby decreases their independence. The pressurization system used by commercial aircraft is known as isobaric. Initially, as the aircraft climbs in altitude, it maintains the same ambient pressure as its environment, and then, from a certain altitude, it maintains a constant (isobaric) pressure, irrespective of changes in altitude. Many military aircraft employ a different system known as differential-isobaric pressurization, which imposes fewer structural requirements and thereby saves weight.

Due to the technical limitations mentioned and the cost, aircraft pressure is not maintained at that of sea level but rather at an intermediate pressure; that pressure depends on the type of aircraft but is usually approximately equivalent to that of an altitude of 2400 m. At that
altitude, the atmospheric oxygen tension is equivalent to breathing 15.1% oxygen at sea level. Although international legislation establishes that minimum cabin pressure should correspond to an altitude of 2438 m (8000 feet), the pressure does not remain constant throughout a flight. In a large series of measurements performed during commercial flights, it was determined that the conditions within aircraft cabins usually correspond to an altitude of 1800 to 2400 m (6000-8000 feet) above sea level. Survival in the event of a sudden reduction in cabin pressure necessitates the use of oxygen masks (obligatory equipment on commercial flights). It is also important to note that at an altitude of 10 600 m a person will lose consciousness in 30 to 45 seconds.

The degree of pressurization also depends on the type of plane. The old Concorde was pressurized at a comfortable level corresponding to an altitude of 1829 m (6000 feet). The current tendency for new models of aircraft, whether manufactured by Boeing or Airbus, is to pressurize at this more comfortable, safer pressure. However, the new Airbus 380 is expected to carry around 600 passengers in 30 to 45 seconds.

In addition to the difficulties caused by changes in barometric pressure, the external environment presents additional problems for commercial flights. The concentration of ozone, which is very low at sea level, increases with altitude and peaks in the stratosphere. Ozone, which is important to filter ultraviolet radiation, is toxic to the respiratory system, even at concentrations below 1 part per million (ppm), which can be reached at some common flight altitudes. To manage this problem, planes have catalytic ozone converters installed to reduce the concentration of the gas. The regulations of the Federal Aviation Administration establish a maximum mean concentration of 0.1 ppm and a maximum peak concentration of 0.25 ppm.

The temperature falls by approximately 2°C for every 300 m increase in altitude, necessitating warming of the air inside the cabin. This air normally has a low humidity (5%), which can cause problems for some individuals. Most commercial aircraft recirculate approximately 50% of the air to improve humidity and energy efficiency. The air must be filtered to retain particles smaller than 0.3 μm in diameter using high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters similar to those used in hospital operating theaters. In addition to particles in suspension, this system is considered effective for the retention of bacteria, fungi, and even viruses released during speech, coughing, or sneezing (Figure 3). The air is renewed 15 to 20 times per hour, although this may vary according to the model and 30 to 45 seconds.

The cabin ventilation system generates transverse airflow and is able to renew the air more effectively than in buildings with air conditioning. Complex electronic systems with sensors located throughout the cabin control the temperature and regulate valves in order to maintain a temperature that is as homogeneous as possible. Finally, it is worth mentioning that the carbon dioxide content of this filtered and conditioned air is usually very low (1000 ppm).

Physiologic Effects of Commercial Flights

Hypobaric Hypoxia

The partial pressure of inspired oxygen (P_{O_2}) is a function of the atmospheric pressure and the vapor pressure of water. As the vapor pressure of water at the same body temperature remains stable with altitude, P_{O_2} will decrease with altitude (hypobaric hypoxia).

Breathing ambient air at 2438 m (8000 feet) is equivalent to breathing 15.1% oxygen at sea level, meaning P_{O_2} falls from 150 mm Hg at sea level to 107 mm Hg at 2438 m. In healthy subjects, this can represent a reduction in Pa_{O_2} from 98 to 55 mm Hg, which is usually well tolerated and does not produce symptoms. However, in patients with chronic respiratory diseases and some degree of baseline hypoxemia, the reduction in Pa_{O_2} during the flight can cause more marked reductions in oxyhemoglobin saturation.

Acute exposure to a hypobaric environment triggers hyperventilation, which is essentially induced by stimulation of peripheral chemoreceptors and is usually mediated by an increase in tidal volume. It also generates an increase in cardiac output to compensate for the residual systemic hypoxia. This increase is mainly mediated by tachycardia and is usually proportional to the drop in oxygen saturation. The increased pulmonary perfusion caused by the rise in cardiac output is associated with hypoxic vasoconstriction of the pulmonary artery and increased systolic pulmonary pressure. As a consequence of the increase in pulmonary vascular resistance, there is a redistribution of pulmonary blood flow and an increase in perfusion of certain areas of the lungs compared with the situation at sea level.

Figure 3. Schematic of the cabin ventilation system in a commercial aircraft.

GARCÍA RÍO F ET AL. AIR TRAVEL AND RESPIRATORY DISEASE
Altitude is also associated with limitation of oxygen diffusion from the atmosphere into the pulmonary capillaries as a consequence of the interaction of various factors. Both the reduced PiO2 and the reduction in affinity of hemoglobin for oxygen in conditions of low PaO2 lead to a more marked drop in the oxygen content of the pulmonary capillaries than at sea level. Finally, the transit time of blood through the pulmonary capillaries is shortened due to the tachycardia caused by the altitude and this limits the time available to establish an adequate oxygen equilibrium. The net result is an increase in the alveolar–arterial oxygen difference.

In addition, the oxyhemoglobin saturation is significantly reduced during physical exercise in a hypobaric environment. Exercise at high altitudes also increases the alveolar–arterial oxygen difference in subjects who normally reside at sea level, while it does not affect those who previously resided at sea level, this is due to changes in the ventilation–perfusion ratio and a limitation of gases in the blood. The changes described have few consequences in healthy subjects, who might only note a slight increase in tidal volume and heart rate. However, hypoxic hypoxia presents a risk for some patients with chronic respiratory disease, in whom it can aggravate preexisting hypoxia and favor the development of cardiovascular complications. In fact, it is recognized that hypoxia reduces the ischemic threshold in men with exercise-induced ischemic heart disease as well as favoring some atrial arrhythmias and being associated with ectopic ventricular beats as a result of increased sympathetic activity.

Expansion of Trapped Gases

With increasing altitude, barometric pressure is reduced and gases expand if they are trapped in the body, unable to escape. This phenomenon is explained by Boyle’s law, which establishes that the volume of a gas is inversely proportional to the pressure:

\[ P \times V = k \]

Although the expansion of the trapped gases is limited, it occurs rapidly, and in healthy subjects can cause discomfort in organs such as the ear, paranasal sinuses, teeth, and gastrointestinal system. In patients with respiratory diseases, and even in young, apparently healthy individuals with small apical bullae, the phenomenon can generate more serious problems.

Ears. Air trapping can occur in the ears due to partial or complete obstruction of the Eustachian tube, which normally equalizes air in the middle ear with the outside. This can occur both during ascent and descent and is also one of the main problems associated with underwater diving. It can be the result of a chronic intrinsic or acquired obstruction or an acute process caused by an infection or allergic reaction. With increasing altitude, the air expands and exerts a pressure on the tympanic membrane, which expands outward. When a pressure increase of 12 to 15 mm Hg is reached, a small bubble of air is expelled into the nostrils and is sometimes accompanied by a small noise. Upon descent, the reverse situation occurs. The external pressure increases and the tympanic membrane is pressed inwards. It is much more likely for obstruction to occur in this situation since the Eustachian tube functions less effectively in this direction. This air block can produce sounds, nausea, and pain in the ears that is sometimes very intense, particularly if the finally phase of the descent occurs very rapidly. A useful maneuver to prevent this obstruction involves repeated swallowing of saliva. Consumption of liquids or food can also help. If the condition persists, gentleValsalva maneuvers are recommended.

Paranasal sinuses. The paranasal sinuses can present similar problems to those experienced in the ear. In this case, the obstruction may be due to chronic lesions such as polyps or to acute problems such as mucus generated in response to infections or allergies. In general, the problem appears during descent and in 70% of cases affects the frontal sinuses. The pain can become very intense.

Barodontalgia. Some subjects may experience dental pain, mainly during ascent to between 1500 and 3000 m. It was initially thought that small pockets of air trapped during dental restoration or other manipulations were the cause of the problem. However, it has not been possible to confirm this hypothesis, despite the association of symptoms with different types of dental complaints.

Gastrointestinal tract. The gastrointestinal tract usually contains some quantity of gas, and consequently, gastrointestinal discomfort is common during air travel. Nevertheless, such problems are of minor significance at the cabin pressures reached during commercial air travel.

Lungs. In healthy subjects without structural abnormalities there are usually no problems of this type associated with the lungs since pulmonary gas pressure is rapidly equalized with the ambient pressure. Nevertheless, some young, apparently healthy subjects may have apical bullae, which can burst during ascent and cause a pneumothorax. In some cases this may be a tension pneumothorax and become serious.

Given that the gas in the body cavities is saturated with water vapor, the expansion caused by increased altitude is greater than that calculated according to Boyle’s law. Given that body temperature remains constant, in the case of bullae or closed pneumothorax...
the increase in volume can be calculated with the following formula:

$$\Delta \text{Volume} = \frac{(\text{Pressure of gas at sea level} - \text{water vapor pressure}) \times \text{Volume of trapped gas}}{(\text{Pressure of gas at 2438 m} - \text{water vapor pressure})}$$

If it is assumed that the gas pressure is 760 mm Hg at sea level and 365 mm Hg at an altitude of 2438 m, and that water vapor pressure remains constant at 47 mm Hg, it can be estimated that the volume of trapped gas will increase by 37.6% during ascent.

The problem is much more severe in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), since those patients usually have regions of emphysema that are poorly connected with the exterior or separated from it and can cause rupture and pneumothorax, in addition to the problems generated by hypoxia.

Airline companies usually recommend that individuals do not fly within 6 weeks of the resolution of a spontaneous pneumothorax, although the scientific evidence supporting this recommendation is very limited. If the pneumothorax has been treated surgically or by pleurodesis with talc it can be severe. In general, it is recommended that individuals do not fly within 24 hours of the resolution of a pneumothorax, although the scientific evidence supporting this recommendation is very limited. If the pneumothorax has been treated surgically or by pleurodesis with talc it is highly unlikely that there will be a relapse during flight.

**Diving and flight.** A particular problem may occur following scuba diving activities. Dissolved nitrogen can accumulate in the tissues (residual nitrogen) during scuba diving, particularly when diving is deep and repeated. During ascent, that nitrogen may be released and give rise to symptoms of decompression, which in some cases can be severe. In general, it is recommended that individuals do not fly within 24 hours following scuba diving, and that they abstain longer periods if diving required decompression breaks. Tables and computer programs are available that can help determine the amount of residual nitrogen and the recommended delay before flying.53-56

**Cabin Humidity and Dehydration**

As mentioned, cabin humidity is usually less than 10% to 20%.17 This can cause skin dryness and discomfort in the eyes, mouth, and nostrils. The dehydration caused by a long flight can also be significant in patients with bronchiectasis. If nasal irritation is particularly acute, use of a hypertonic saline spray is recommended.1

**Restricted Movement**

Prolonged immobility, particularly in a sitting position, contributes to the accumulation of blood in the legs, and this can cause swelling, tightness, and discomfort in the lower limbs. In turn, immobility can favor the development of deep vein thrombosis (DVT).1

**Psychological Aspects**

For some subjects, the aircraft environment and the flight itself can trigger increased anxiety, which can lead to an exaggerated perception of some respiratory symptoms or contribute to the deterioration of an existing respiratory condition.

**Assessment of Respiratory Diseases**

It is difficult to establish definitive guidelines based on currently available information. In fact, a wide variety of procedures are used for the assessment of patients with respiratory disease. In a review of 109 in-flight requests for oxygen, information on some spirometry results were only available in 61% of cases.1 Furthermore, a 1997 survey of specialists in respiratory medicine in England and Wales revealed that they followed highly diverse criteria in prescribing use of oxygen in flight.35

In any case, to establish a medical opinion on risk in air travel, the type, reversibility, and degree of functional impairment caused by the disease must be assessed along with the tolerance of the patient for the predicted flight altitude and the length of exposure.

**General Clinical Assessment**

Although all patients with chronic respiratory disease may benefit from a clinical assessment prior to undertaking air travel, such assessment should be considered obligatory in those situations shown in Table 1. The following procedures should be considered in this preliminary examination:

- Medical history, in which special attention should be paid to recognizing all cardiopulmonary disease, with particular interest in comorbidity that could be worsened with hypoxemia (cerebrovascular disease, ischemic heart disease, heart failure). It is also important to assess dyspnea and other respiratory symptoms and compile previous experiences of the patient on other flights.
- Measurement of oxyhemoglobin saturation by pulse oximetry (SpO₂) or arterial blood gas analysis, following a period of rest sufficient to guarantee stability of the recordings. In the case of clinical suspicion of hypoxemia, blood gas analysis should obviously be performed.
- Forced spirometry68,69 and single-breath determination of the diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO).70
- Walk test. The medical departments of some airlines propose walking for 50 m as a way to assess tolerance of flight conditions. In such a test, the aim is to verify that the patient is capable of walking 50 m without limitation due to dyspnea. Although it is a crude procedure that has not been sufficiently validated, it allows an estimate to be made of the cardiopulmonary reserve by assessing the increase in ventilation and cardiac output in response to exercise.

In principle, there is no reason to use a 50 m walk test in place of the 6 minute walk test, which is commonly used in many patients with respiratory disease and is well standardized. Criteria for concern should be the inability of the patient to continue walking for 6 minutes, a distance covered of less than 150 m, or the development of severe dyspnea (score of more than 5 on the Borg scale).35-37
A PaO₂ of greater than 50 mm Hg during the flight.63 That patients with moderate or severe COPD is associated with a PaO₂ of more than 50 to 55 mmHg has been arbitrarily considered acceptable.9-13 Consequently, it is important to estimate the PaO₂ during the flight, since below 50 mm Hg provision of supplementary oxygen during the flight is recommended.63

**TABLE 1**

**Respiratory Indications for Clinical Evaluation Prior to Air Travel**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative</th>
<th>Absolute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History of intolerance of air travel due to respiratory symptoms (dyspnea, chest pain, confusion, or syncope)</td>
<td>Moderate to severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis</td>
<td>Prior use of oxygen therapy or ventilatory support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent pneumothorax</td>
<td>Subcutaneous or mediastinal emphysema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary contusion, or who have undergone a major thoracic surgical procedure within the last 2 weeks</td>
<td>Thoracic surgery within the last 2 weeks are also considered to have a respiratory contraindication for air travel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contacts of probable or confirmed cases of SARS who have been exposed in the last 10 days</td>
<td>Contacts of probable or confirmed cases of SARS who have been exposed within the last 10 days. Patients with undrained pneumothorax, subcutaneous or mediastinal emphysema, or a pulmonary contusion, or who have undergone a major thoracic surgical procedure in the last 2 weeks are also considered to have a respiratory contraindication for air travel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent pneumothorax</td>
<td>Undrained pneumothorax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients from areas with recent local outbreaks of severe acute respiratory syndrome</td>
<td>Patients from areas with recent local outbreaks of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) with respiratory symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis</td>
<td>Patients with recent local outbreaks of severe acute respiratory syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>Recent pneumothorax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk or previous episode of venous thromboembolic disease</td>
<td>Pulmonary contusion, or who have undergone a major thoracic surgical procedure in the last 2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent pneumothorax</td>
<td>Subcutaneous or mediastinal emphysema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients from areas with recent local outbreaks of severe acute respiratory syndrome</td>
<td>Patients with recent local outbreaks of severe acute respiratory syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis</td>
<td>Recent pneumothorax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent pneumothorax</td>
<td>Pulmonary contusion, or who have undergone a major thoracic surgical procedure in the last 2 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2**

**Respiratory Contraindications for Air Travel**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absolute</th>
<th>Relative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute respiratory failure</td>
<td>Resolution of a spontaneous pneumothorax in the last 6 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spumt-positive tuberculosis</td>
<td>Major thoracic surgery within the last 6 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients from areas with recent local outbreaks of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) with respiratory symptoms</td>
<td>Scuba diving in the last 24 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 4** shows a proposed algorithm for patient assessment. In those patients who receive home oxygen therapy, it is recommended that the oxygen flow be increased during the flight, usually by 1 to 2 L/min. In other patients, in-flight hypoxemia should be estimated if they have a PaO₂ less than 70 mm Hg or an SpO₂ less than 93%, if the forced vital capacity (FVC) or DLCO is less than 50% of reference, or if other risk factors are present (Table 3). **Estimation of the Degree of In-Flight Hypoxemia**

Based on the level of hypoxemia during air travel in healthy subjects, a PaO₂ of more than 50 to 55 mm Hg has been arbitrarily considered acceptable.9-13 Consequently, it is important to estimate the PaO₂ during the flight, since below 50 mm Hg provision of supplementary oxygen during the flight is recommended.63

PaO₂ at altitude can be estimated in 2 ways: through the use of prediction equations or with a hypoxia–altitude simulation (hypoxic challenge) test.
**Prediction equations.** Various equations have been developed to predict in-flight PaO2 based on measurements obtained at sea level (Table 4). Some of them allow PaO2 to be determined for any given altitude based on values obtained at sea level (Figure 5). In most cases, the equations were established for patients with COPD and the measurements of PaO2 at altitude were performed in hypobaric chambers or following altitude simulation via respiration with a fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2) of 15%. The accuracy improves when measurements of FEV1 or FEV1/FVC are included. In addition, greater accuracy is obtained when they are applied to COPD patients with an FEV1 less than 60% of reference.

Despite the simplicity of equations to estimate in-flight hypoxia and their widespread availability, they also have drawbacks. The most important is the consequence of their very large 90% confidence interval, which is ±7.5 mm Hg, mainly due to the use of very small samples in their calculation. It is notable that in 18 patients with severe COPD differences have been detected between the actual PaO2 during the flight and that estimated in the equation of Gong et al of –6 ±6 mm Hg (range, –15 to 6 mm Hg).

In almost all cases, patient series used to develop the equations have involved healthy men or men with COPD, meaning that accurate information on women is lacking. Nor have flight duration and cabin conditions been considered. In addition, the equations have not been validated with another hypoxia test repeated after the test used to generate them. It is possible that equations that use PaCO2 underestimate the severity of hypoxemia triggered by altitude in hypercapnic patients, since some authors have demonstrated that PaO2 at altitude is inversely proportional to PaCO2 at sea level. In the same way, equations that use FEV1 or FEV1/FVC in healthy subjects probably overestimate PaO2 at altitude. It is also likely that the cause of the hypoxemia should be taken into account. For instance, hypoxemia as a result of shunt is affected very little by altitude, while that caused by ventilation-perfusion imbalance is highly dependant upon PaCO2.

Recently, a specific prediction equation that includes DLCO was developed for patients with restrictive disease. Another equation relevant to patients with COPD or interstitial disease has also been proposed. In addition, recent years models have incorporated PaCO2, both for healthy subjects and patients with COPD.

In the light of available data, the equation published by Muhm would be the most recommendable in healthy subjects and patients with COPD, while that of Christensen et al would be advisable for patients with restrictive disease.

**Hypoxia–altitude simulation test.** Although hypobaric hypoxia is the ideal method to estimate the degree of hypoxemia during a commercial flight, it can not be used in ordinary clinical practice due to the limited availability of hypobaric chambers (Appendix 2). As an alternative, it is recommended to resort to the isobaric hypoxia–altitude simulation (hypoxic challenge) test, initially described by Gong et al. This test assumes that respiration of a hypoxic
patients with COPD.73 However, it must be remembered to avoid changes in the dose or intervals of the medication.69

It is recommended that the test be performed without interruption of the patient’s usual medication, attempting to avoid changes in the dose or intervals of the medication.69

The maximum altitude corresponding to cabin pressure (2438 m) can be simulated by respiration of a mixture of 15% oxygen in nitrogen.69 The relationship between isobaric hypoxia and hypoxic challenge test provides a measure comparable to that obtained by simulating the same altitude in a hypobaric chamber.69

SpO₂ should only be used to monitor the test, while arterial blood gas analysis be performed at the beginning and end of the test. In terms of pulse oximetry, it is recommended that SpO₂ be monitored continuously and that arterial blood gas analysis be performed at the beginning and end of the test. In terms of pulse oximetry, it should not be forgotten that true oxygenation can be slightly overestimated in smokers, given that the technique does not discriminate between oxyhemoglobin and carboxyhemoglobin. Moreover, most pulse oximeters display a certain degree of inaccuracy and variability in the saturation range between 88% and 92%. Therefore, SpO₂ should only be used to monitor the test, while interpretation of the test results should be based on PaO₂.

In both healthy subjects and patients with COPD, the hypoxic challenge test provides a measure comparable to that obtained by simulating the same altitude in a hypobaric chamber. The relationship between isobaric hypoxia and hypobaric hypoxia appears not to be affected by the age or the sex of the subjects.69 In turn, it has also been

---

**Table 4**
Equations for the Prediction of In-Flight Hypoxemia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂ 2438 = PaO₂ ALT – (0.519 × PaO₂ SL) + (0.171 × FEV₁/FVC [% predicted]) + 21.028</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂ 2438 = PaO₂ ALT – (0.245 × PaO₂ SL) + (0.386 × PaCO₂ [% predicted]) + 16.602</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂ 2438 = PaO₂ ALT – (0.238 × PaO₂ SL) + (0.180 × PaCO₂ [% predicted]) + 22.258</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂ 2438 = PaO₂ ALT – (0.003 × PaO₂ SL) + (0.000000092 × PaCO₂ [% predicted]) × Alt² + 0.687</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂ 2438 = PaO₂ ALT – (0.003 × PaO₂ SL) + (0.000000092 × PaCO₂ [% predicted]) × Alt² + 0.687</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alt indicates altitude in feet; PaO₂ ALT, partial pressure of inspired oxygen saturated with water vapor at altitude; PaO₂ 2438 m, partial pressure of oxygen at 2438 m; PaO₂ SL, partial pressure of oxygen at sea level; PaCO₂ ALT, partial pressure of expired carbon dioxide at altitude; PaCO₂ SL, partial pressure of expired carbon dioxide at sea level; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; DLCO, diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; PiO₂ G, partial pressure of inspired oxygen saturated with water vapor on the ground; PiO₂ ALT, partial pressure of inspired oxygen saturated with water vapor at altitude; FiO₂, inspired fraction of oxygen; Alt, altitude in feet; PaO₂ estimated at altitude (mm Hg); PaO₂ estimated at 2438 m (8000 feet); PaO₂ estimated at sea level (mm Hg).

---

Gas mixture at sea level (normobaric hypoxia) simulates the hypobaric hypoxia characteristic of higher altitude.69 The maximum altitude corresponding to cabin pressure (2438 m) can be simulated by respiration of a mixture of 15% oxygen in nitrogen.

No specific preparation is required for the test. It is recommended that the test be performed without interruption of the patient’s usual medication, attempting to avoid changes in the dose or intervals of the medication.69

Once patients are seated, they can be made to breathe a hypoxic gas mixture using a Douglas bag, a plethysmography chamber, or a Venturi mask.

The most traditional and simple method is to ask the subject to breathe the gas mixture contained in a 30 to 100 L Douglas bag, which is filled with 15% oxygen and nitrogen as a carrier using pressurized cylinders. In this case, the patients can breathe through a mouthpiece with a nose clip, or through a face mask with a valve to prevent rebreathing.71,74

The second option involves filling a sealed plethysmography chamber with a gas mixture (15% oxygen in nitrogen) that can be kept constant by introducing oxygen or nitrogen through a port. This procedure has the advantage of not requiring a mask or mouthpiece and also allowing titration of the oxygen flow required to correct the hypoxemia by administration of oxygen through nasal prongs within the hypoxic environment of the chamber. However, while the patient remains in the chamber it is not possible to obtain samples of arterial blood and monitoring is therefore limited to SpO₂.

As a third possibility, a Venturi mask can be used in which oxygen is replaced with nitrogen as the carrier gas. It has been confirmed with various devices that a Venturi system at 35% generates an FiO₂ of 16%, while 40% produces an FiO₂ of 14%, both in healthy subjects and patients with COPD.73 However, it must be remembered that not all commercial models based on the Venturi principle are able to administer oxygen with an error of less than 1%, as claimed in their specifications. In addition, the FiO₂ can be reduced if the inspiratory flow of the patient exceeds the total flow generated by the apparatus. Although its role is more limited, the dead space inside the mask also affects the concentration of oxygen provided.72 It is also necessary to consider that nitrogen is 14% less dense than oxygen, meaning that the carryover capacity for air through the Venturi system is lower than that of oxygen, thereby making the FiO₂ achieved less accurate.73 Thus, it appears reasonable to suggest that if this system is used to administer the hypoxic gas mixture then FiO₂ should be monitored simultaneously.

During the test, the patient will be asked to breathe at tidal volume and the test will be ended after 20 minutes or when a stable situation is achieved, defined as the absence of variability in SpO₂ (% predicted) or heart rate (± 5 beats per minute) for at least 2 minutes.67

It is recommended that SpO₂ be monitored continuously and that arterial blood gas analysis be performed at the beginning and end of the test. In terms of pulse oximetry, it should not be forgotten that true oxygenation can be slightly overestimated in smokers, given that the technique does not discriminate between oxyhemoglobin and carboxyhemoglobin. Furthermore, most pulse oximeters display a certain degree of inaccuracy and variability in the saturation range between 88% and 92%. Therefore, SpO₂ should only be used to monitor the test, while interpretation of the test results should be based on PaO₂.
demonstrated that there is a good correlation between the PaO2 obtained during simulation of altitude-induced hypoxia and that determined during flight, although this correlation is weakened when the interval between the 2 measurements is greater than 4 months.

In terms of safety, the tolerance of hypoxic challenge is good and only mild side effects such as tachycardia, dyspnea, vertigo, or nausea, headache, and sleepiness have been described. Hypoxic challenge offers certain advantages over prediction equations. It provides a more accurate assessment of the individual’s response to hypoxia. In addition, it allows assessment of the possible effects of hypoxia, such as symptoms or electrocardiographic (ECG) abnormalities. Although initial studies involved continuous ECG monitoring, few arrhythmias related to hypoxia were identified and all of them were benign; consequently, systematic ECG monitoring is not recommended. However, it may be considered on an individual basis in patients with cardiovascular comorbidity.

Despite these considerations, hypoxic challenge is a procedure that also presents limitations. It does not reproduce cabin conditions of pressure or air density. However, in order for reduced air density and flow turbulence to generate an increase in FEV1, or a reduction in work of breathing, altitudes of more than 3000 m are required, suggesting that these factors will have little influence. In addition, the potential beneficial effect of the reduced air density will never be greater than the negative effect caused by the reduction in PaO2, the increase in lung elasticity and air trapping, and the poor distribution of ventilation.

The length of the flight is also not taken into account during hypoxic challenge. However, changes in arterial blood gases during a flight lasting 5 hours have recently been analyzed in patients with COPD. It has been demonstrated that when patients remain seated PaO2 falls until cruising altitude is reached and then remains stable for the rest of the flight.

There is less consensus regarding the application of these recommendations in children with respiratory diseases. Little information is available on physiologic changes at altitude in children. In addition, the spectrum of disease can be very broad. In premature babies with acute viral respiratory infection there is a greater risk of apnea due to immaturity of the breathing pattern. In that case, environmental hypoxia can increase the risk of apnea and it is therefore recommended that infants do not fly until 6 months after the date for full-term birth. On the other hand, some children with cystic fibrosis are not fly until 6 months after the date for full-term birth.

An oxygen flow of 2 L/min appears sufficient to correct the hypoxemia in most cases. It has been confirmed that provision of oxygen through nasal prongs at 2 L/min in healthy subjects and patients with obstructive or restrictive disease who breathe an ambient PaO2 of 15% achieves an SpO2 similar to that recorded when they breathe at an FiO2 of 21%. In restrictive diseases, a flow rate of 2 L/min also appears to be sufficient to maintain adequate oxygenation during the flight, although when the patient moves about the aircraft it may be advisable to increase the flow to 4 L/min, so long as an extension is available.

Finally, provision of supplementary oxygen should be considered a safe and effective procedure for the management of many patients with chronic respiratory diseases who undertake a journey by air. For example, it has recently been described that provision of oxygen during flights of up to 13,000 km allowed a group of patients with severe lung disease to reach their destinations satisfactorily. In that study, only a few episodes of near fainting were observed due to insufficient oxygenation when going to the bathroom without supplementary oxygen.

Specific Recommendation for Some Respiratory Diseases

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

COPD and the requirement for its treatment with oxygen during flight is the most common cause of medical
studies indicate that patients can have reductions in PaO2 inspired oxygen fraction.

To those of flights, although flight duration appears to have analyzed its possible consequences in periods of time closer in patients with COPD treated by continuous home oxygen it seems reasonable given the clinical experience accumulated been observed in studies involving hypoxic challenge and 110 Arch Bronconeumol. 2007;42(2):101-25 more common.88 Nevertheless, these events do not normally appear to be particularly serious, and when they are, they are usually cardiovascular in origin.26,27 Although the interpretation of these data may be erroneous due to the limitations of their collection, it is also possible that the tolerance of hypoxemia in patients with COPD (without other factors that could alter oxygen transport such as heart disease or anemia) is greater than might be expected.

According to current knowledge, it could be recommended that all patients with moderate or severe COPD who wish to travel by air should be clinically assessed, with attention to the following elements: 1) ruling out the presence of exacerbation or that the patient is in an early phase of recovery from an exacerbation, 2) identifying the treatment being taken, and 3) reducing comorbidity. Once clinical stability has been confirmed and treatment optimized, arterial blood gas analysis and spectrometry should be performed in the days prior to flying. The values obtained for PaO2 must be adjusted to sea level; in some regions of Spain that may imply an increase of up to 10 mm Hg.

In order to simplify the assessment, the following algorithm could be recommended in response to the presence of hypoxemia (Figure 7):

1. PaO2 >70 mm Hg. In general, patients with this PaO2 will not present severe hypoxic hypoxemia, making systematic estimation of in-flight PaO2 unnecessary. Nevertheless, the presence of symptoms (dyspnea or chest pain) during previous flights should be assessed, and if they are present, oxygen support at low flow rates (1-2 L/min) during previous flights should be recommended. It also seems wise to extend that treatment option to those cases and in which the in-flight cabin pressure corresponds to an altitude of greater than 2438 m (8000 feet) and the patient has very severe COPD (FEV1 ≤30%), where limitations may be present in the mechanisms of compensation for hypoxemia, or diseases that alter oxygen transport.

2. PaO2 =60-70 mm Hg. An estimate of in-flight PaO2 should be made using a prediction equation or, preferably, hypoxic challenge. Prescription of oxygen at low flow rates is recommended in the following situations:

- Estimated in-flight PaO2, less than 50 mm Hg
- Flights in which the cabin pressure corresponds to an altitude greater than 1859 m (6000 feet)
- Presence of cardiovascular comorbidity and/or anemia

3. PaO2 <50 mm Hg. Patients in this situation usually already receive continuous home oxygen therapy. The goal would be maintenance of the same oxygen levels during the flight, necessitating an increase of 1 to 1.5 L/min over the patient’s usual oxygen support. Such treatment should not normally create problems in eucapnic COPD patients, in whom a tendency toward hypocapnia due to hyperventilation has been observed. However, in the presence of hypercapnia, prior assessment of variations in gas exchange following increased oxygen support should be undertaken.

It is important to mention that patients who are not receiving continuous home oxygen therapy have a lower sense of the severity of the disease and a substantial
Infectious Disease

Commercial flights represent a favorable environment for the spread of pathogens transported by passengers or flight personnel, as was shown during the recent outbreak of SARS. Few studies or data are available on this topic and it is difficult to quantify the global repercussions, which may be underestimated, since almost all of the diseases involved have incubation periods that are shorter than the length of the trip, some of the diseases are treated as trivial processes, and the studies that have been performed have included a significant proportion of passengers who could not be located. The International Health Regulations adopted worldwide in 1969 to limit the spread of disease are in the process of revision. Recently, the World Health Organization (WHO) published guidelines on infectious diseases and air travel.19

Risk factors. The respiratory infections that have been the object of the greatest interest are pulmonary tuberculosis, SARS, and infections caused by the influenza virus. Since the microorganisms responsible for those infections are mainly transmitted through the air, the risk of transmission during flights is affected by duration, the proximity of the index case, and the cabin ventilation, in addition to the pathogenic characteristics, the epidemiology of the infection in each region, and the immune status of the subject.

The use of appropriate filters and correct recirculation of air in the plane reduces the risk of infection. Although the safety of HEPA filters in protection against viruses has been questioned, a more serious concern is the absence of legislation obliging their use in most countries. HEPA filters were found not to be used on 15% of flights carrying more than 100 passengers in the USA, and that figure is considerably higher in small planes that undertake local flights. Based on the cases analyzed and studies involving mathematical models, individuals seated in either of the 2 rows of seats closest to the affected passenger are at the highest risk for transmission of Mycobacterium tuberculosis and if ventilation is doubled, the risk is reduced by half. The probability of transmission is also reduced to almost zero in passengers seated 15 rows from the zone of infection. This “safe distance” does not apply in the case of a patient with SARS, who could infect any other healthy passenger seated in the next 7 rows. Studies performed by the WHO have failed to demonstrate that air recirculation by itself facilitates transmission of infectious disease on board aircraft. However, it should be confirmed that the cabin ventilation system functions correctly and continuously while passengers are on board, independently of whether or not the plane is in flight or held on the runway, as inadequate functioning of the system favors infection.
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Calls have recently been made in scientific journals and in the general media for serious consideration to be given to regulations on the use of HEPA filters and for an increase in the number of checks made on aircraft by the authorities.104,105

Tuberculosis. A third of the world’s population is infected by Mycobacterium tuberculosis, and consequently, it is the most extensively studied model of transmission during air travel. Evidence is available that transmission from smear-positive individuals, or that occurred as a result of defective cabin ventilation, favors disease transmission,120,121 as in conventional epidemic outbreaks, a series of risk factors affect acquisition of infection, such as age, duration of flight, and close contact with the index case, meaning that tourism in groups can facilitate infection.122

Severe acute respiratory syndrome. The epidemic outbreak of SARS, for which the causative agent is a coronavirus, is the most recent and representative example of a disease transmitted by a very small number of passengers to other countries and continents within a few weeks.123

Studies showed that in 5 of the 40 flights investigated for carrying patients infected with the SARS virus transmission of the virus to other passengers was likely to have occurred.102,103,104 The majority of the patients who were infected had been seated in the 5 rows closest to the index case, although at least in 1 flight lasting 3 hours (Hong Kong–Beijing) an outbreak occurred that affected a high percentage of passengers seated up to 7 rows from the index case and subsequently in more than 300 secondary cases.105 Possible explanations for that outbreak have been sought, and although no conclusive results have been obtained, it has been suggested to have occurred mainly through aerial transmission from a direct or indirect contact, that some of the passengers were infected prior to the flight, or that it occurred as a result defective cabin ventilation. The cabin crew may have an increased risk of acquiring the disease due to their movement through the aircraft.106

The WHO developed a series of recommendations and guidelines, which included a series of measures that should be followed by all countries (Appendix 3).112,113 Once those measures were put into practice, no new cases of long-distance propagation of the disease were identified.114

Influenza. Epidemic infection with the influenza A virus appears between the months of October and April in the northern hemisphere and between May and September in the southern hemisphere. The creche outbreak undertaken in Switzerland, almost 13% of passengers who suffered fever during a journey to subtropical or tropical regions had a significant antibody titer against influenza viruses when they returned and in more than 6% it was possible to demonstrate a seroconversion of more than 4 times the initial titer. The most common pathogens in fever episodes outside the periods of local epidemic were influenza viruses.115 That source may be the cause of some of the limited outbreaks that occur during the nonepidemic period.116,117 Other viruses such as influenza B and paramyxoviruses also have demonstrated pathogenic capacity.118,119 As in conventional epidemic outbreaks, a series of risk factors affect acquisition of infection, such as age over 65 years, presentation of comorbid conditions, and close contact with the index case, meaning that tourism in groups can facilitate infection.120

Nevertheless, only 3 studies have reported infection during air travel.105,106,107 The passengers seated in the rows closest to the index case were the most often affected, although given the high infectiousness of the virus, between 25% and 70% of the passengers was possible in flights lasting longer than 3 hours and up to 20% of secondary familial contacts developed the disease. Suspension or failure of the ventilation system favors disease transmission, as demonstrated in a flight in which an individual with flu infected 72% of the passengers.107 Some countries recommend flu vaccination for those passengers undertaking journeys to the southern hemisphere during the summer and who were not vaccinated during the previous year.122

Respiratory transmission of other diseases. Some microorganisms that do not produce respiratory symptoms, or at least are not associated with respiratory conditions as the principal symptoms, are nevertheless transmitted through the airways. Among them, meningococcus and measles virus are the most noteworthy as a result of their infectiousness, morbidity and mortality.

Between 1999 and 2001, 21 cases were studied of patients with meningococcal disease who had traveled by plane during the infectious period without evidence of a single secondary case. Nevertheless, given the severity of the disease, it is advised that individuals seated near the index case begin prophylactic treatment in the 24 hours following the case being reported, so long as less than 14 days have elapsed since the case was reported.114

The measles virus is highly contagious, with up to 80% of exposed individuals developing the disease, and cases have been described of transmission during air travel.115,116
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Currently, the vaccination schedule in the different autonomous communities of Spain includes vaccination against meningococcus from the age of 2 years and measles from 15 months, making the risk of transmission of those diseases presumably minimal, although individuals without antibodies or those from other countries who have not been vaccinated could be affected. No epidemic outbreaks have been reported for the virus that causes the common cold, but this absence is presumably due to the high frequency of the disease and the difficulties associated with investigating it. One study found no evidence that the air recirculation system in the cabin aided appearance of symptoms of infection in the upper airways.

There is currently a great deal of concern regarding spread of the avian flu virus (H5N1). This virus has a shorter incubation period and is more contagious than the SARS virus. The USA has prepared a national plan to prevent the spread of outbreaks through the establishment of a series of specific health measures in airports. In addition to an increase in the number of health care workers, medical consulting rooms have been built that allow the health of passengers to be assessed and isolation rooms created to establish a quarantine area in international airports. Those facilities are in permanent contact with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and have access to passenger information for all flights in order to identify contacts of a possible index case.

To date, the benefits of such a strategy have not been demonstrated and it is quite unlikely that it would prevent or slow an epidemic caused by introduction of the influenza or SARS virus. Detection of individuals with the disease exclusively in the destination airport would only have consequences for the detection of individuals who developed the clinical features during the flight and of contacts, thereby making the sensitivity low. Most experts are in favor of strategies similar to those followed in the SARS outbreak, including monitoring to detect individuals with symptoms in the departure airport, in an effort to prevent individuals with the disease from boarding the flight.

If a case of infection with the avian influenza virus is confirmed, isolation measures similar to those followed for patients and contacts with SARS must be established, treatment with neuraminidase inhibitors should be initiated immediately, and in contacts, prophylactic measures with those drugs should be started during the first 48 hours. If a specific vaccine is available it should be immediately administered to contacts. The WHO has established a global plan in which these elements are considered.

Recently, a series of recommendations and considerations were prepared on the management of exposure to an infectious disease during commercial air travel:

– Although passenger transport companies can refuse to transport individuals with a disease, they cannot undertake systematic examination in an effort to identify ill passengers.
– Early diagnosis is necessary to establish measures for the other passengers.
– Governments have the legal authority, in accordance with international law, to establish quarantine measures for passengers with transmissible diseases for which declaration is obligatory.
– The authorities may establish measures to quarantine passengers who arrive at their airports.
– Physicians must identify those subjects who are not in a good state of health to travel by air and inform them of how a flight might affect their health.

Prevention is the best course of action and postponement of the journey should be advised.

– Hand washing reduces the risk of transmission of contagious diseases and should be performed as a matter of course during travel and always prior to eating.
– The mouth and nose should be covered in the event of sneezing or coughing and hands should be washed afterwards to protect others.
– In the case of a passenger with suspected SARS during the flight, a US National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health N95 mask should be provided and an isolation zone established in the aircraft.

**Cystic Fibrosis**

Survival and quality of life have improved in patients with cystic fibrosis, making it not uncommon for them to want to go on holidays and even undertake work that may involve air travel.

Few studies have assessed the effects of commercial flights on patients with cystic fibrosis. There is some disagreement regarding estimation of the level of hypoxemia in those patients. Although in a study performed in a small group of patients aged between 11 and 16 years, hypoxic challenge predicted with a high level of sensitivity and specificity the development of desaturation during the flight, later studies have not confirmed those findings. A study undertaken by the same group that contained a larger number of subjects and involved longer flights (8–13 hours) contradicted the earlier findings and showed that an FEV1 less than 50% of reference better identified patients who desaturated than did the results of hypoxic challenge.

Only a small percentage of the patients who displayed reductions in SpO2 to below 90% presented symptoms and required oxygen supplementation. However, it should be noted that the patients included in those studies were stable, had disease that was not very advanced, and were younger than other groups of patients with cardiac or respiratory diseases for whom reduction of PaO2 to below 50 mm Hg necessitates the implementation of oxygen therapy during the flight. This would explain the greater tolerance of hypoxia seen in patients with cystic fibrosis, confirmed both in acute exposure in hypobaric chambers and during time at altitude. In addition, in patients with cystic fibrosis, the results of hypoxic challenge are particularly variable over time and can change within a few weeks. Consequently, the decision to have a cystic fibrosis patient use oxygen therapy during a flight should not be based exclusively on hypoxic challenge tests but also on clinical parameters and the degree of bronchial disease control
Incidence and risk of VTD. Studies addressing the incidence and risk of thrombosis associated with long-distance flights have employed a variety of different methods and yielded disparate results. For passengers with a high risk of thrombosis due to the presence of additional risk factors the incidence of VTD appears to be high, from 3% to 5%. In patients at low or moderate risk the incidence drops to between 0% and 1%. Most of the VTD events that were identified were asymptomatic DVT that exclusively affected the venous territory of the calf, although the screening method used in almost all of the studies involved venous compression ultrasound with or without Doppler, raising questions over the results due to the limited sensitivity of the technique for distal clots. The influence of other individual risk factors appears to be decisive in generating DVT.

The incidence of pulmonary embolism has been assessed in cohort studies. According to data collected in Paris airports between 1984 and 1998, the incidence of this entity has increased. Significant differences have been described in incidence rates according to distance traveled, ranging from 0.01 per 106 passengers for distances of less than 5000 km to 4.8 cases per 106 passengers in flights of more than 10000 km. Differences were also seen according to distance traveled in a study performed at Madrid Barajas Airport. In flights lasting more than 8 hours the incidence of pulmonary embolism was 1.65 per 106 passengers and in flights lasting 6 to 8 hours it was 0.65 per 106 passengers, while no cases were observed in flights lasting less than 6 hours. Consequently, 6 hours has been considered the cutoff for recommending general measures for the periodic movement of the limbs. The relative risk of VTD is difficult to establish due to the heterogeneity of the studies. Considering only air travel, the risk is not clear (odds ratio, 1.3), and consequently, it could not be considered as an independent risk factor. However, in passengers with additional risk factors for thrombosis, the odds ratios increased in all studies to represent a 3-fold to 4-fold higher risk of VTD. Recently, it has been demonstrated that the immobility during a flight lasting more than 8 hours increases the levels of certain markers of clotting in subjects without risk factors for thrombosis, but it remains to be established whether this represents an increased risk of VTD.

Prophylactic measures. Patients must be assessed individually and the presence of other risk factors for venous thrombosis identified (Table 6) in order to adopt prophylactic interventions. Classification of the risk as moderate or high in these circumstances is not well established. It seems reasonable to extrapolate the impact of each of these factors on VTD.

GENERAL MEASURES. Adequate hydration, regular movement of the lower limbs, and avoiding keeping the legs bent for long periods of time are the measures recommended by most experts. These measure are recommended for general application in flights lasting more than 6 hours.
3) final destination, is sufficiently prepared and no elements are left to chance.\textsuperscript{170} Patients can travel despite limitations, so long as the journey length of the individual will remain there. Most apparatus or a wheelchair during the flight and at the destination, oxygen or the feasibility of charging the batteries of the apparatus to last the entire duration of the flight.\textsuperscript{173} In contrast, a single dose of enoxaparin, both at a therapeutic weight-adjusted dose and as a high-risk prophylactic dose, administered 2 to 4 hours prior to the flight reduced the incidence of DVT without side effects.\textsuperscript{169} Acetylsalicylic acid for 3 days proved to be ineffective and caused gastrointestinal discomfort in 13\% of subjects.\textsuperscript{169} In these cases, antithrombotic therapy.\textsuperscript{171} It is also essential to know the conditions of each airline company prior to embarking upon a journey, both in terms of the transport and provision of oxygen and in relation to the accessories required by the patient (wheelchair, ventilator) and the requirement to travel with an escort. Some companies allow the passenger to carry small oxygen bottles (a maximum of 2 bottles less than 0.5 m long and 250 mm in diameter)\textsuperscript{172} but other companies do not accept transport of oxygen, although they allow the use of some oxygen concentrators, according to very strict regulations, so long as the user has sufficient batteries available to last the entire duration of the flight.\textsuperscript{173} Risk Factors for the Development of Venous Thromboembolic Disease That Should be Assessed for Long-Haul Flights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recent major surgery</th>
<th>Recent fractures or immobilization of the lower limbs with a plaster cast</th>
<th>Recent immobilization as a result of illness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congenital thrombophilia: antithrombin, protein C, or protein S deficiency, homozygous or heterozygous factor V Leiden mutation, combined deficiencies, heterozygous factor II G20210A mutation, hyperhomocysteinemia, increased plasma concentration of factor VIII, others\textsuperscript{8}</td>
<td>Previous venous thromboembolic disease, especially idiopathic disease</td>
<td>Cancer, especially with metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiphospholipid syndrome</td>
<td>Advanced age</td>
<td>Pregnancy, puerperium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>Superficial venous thrombosis, varicose veins</td>
<td>Oral contraceptives, hormone replacement therapy, tamoxifen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous factors: polycythemia vera, thrombocytosis, paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria, nephrotic syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease, Behçet syndrome, lupus erythematosus, antipsychotic medication</td>
<td>Miscellaneous factors: bowel disease, Behçet syndrome, lupus erythematosus, antipsychotic medication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other thrombophilias: increased plasma concentration of factor IX, factor XI, and thrombin-activatable fibrinolysis inhibitor, and dysfibrinogenemias.

**Compression stockings**. In passengers at high risk of thrombosis, compression stockings, generally knee length and with a pressure of 15 to 30 mm Hg have proven to be effective in reducing the incidence of VTD.\textsuperscript{168-169} No adverse effects are associated with their use and they are well tolerated.

**Dexamethasone**. The use of acetylsalicylic acid and low molecular weight heparins has been tested in passengers at high risk of thrombosis. A dose of 400 mg acetylsalicylic acid for 3 days proved to be ineffective and caused gastrointestinal discomfort in 13\% of subjects.\textsuperscript{169} In contrast, a single dose of enoxaparin, both at a therapeutic weight-adjusted dose and as a high-risk prophylactic dose, administered 2 to 4 hours prior to the flight reduced the incidence of DVT without side effects.\textsuperscript{169}

The general conclusions on VTD and air travel are summarized in Table 7.

**Chronic Respiratory Failure**

Few studies have addressed the effects of air travel on patients with respiratory diseases who present respiratory failure or severe abnormalities in control of ventilation. Issues that must be taken into account in relation to air travel in such patients, in addition to the characteristics and length of the flight, are the following: 1) the total length of the journey (flight time plus predicted waiting time and risk of unexpected delays), 2) travel from the airport to the final destination, 3) logistic aspects such as provision of oxygen or the feasibility of charging the batteries of the apparatus or a wheelchair during the flight and at the destination, and 4) the altitude of the destination point and the length of time the individual will remain there. Most patients can travel despite limitations, so long as the journey is sufficiently prepared and no elements are left to chance.\textsuperscript{75}

In general, an increase in oxygen flow of 1 to 2 L is recommended in patients who receive home oxygen therapy.\textsuperscript{75} It is also essential to know the conditions of each airline company prior to embarking upon a journey, both in terms of the transport and provision of oxygen and in relation to the accessories required by the patient (wheelchair, ventilator) and the requirement to travel with an escort. Some companies allow the passenger to carry small oxygen bottles (a maximum of 2 bottles less than 0.5 m long and 250 mm in diameter)\textsuperscript{172} but other companies do not accept transport of oxygen, although they allow the use of some oxygen concentrators, according to very strict regulations, so long as the user has sufficient batteries available to last the entire duration of the flight.\textsuperscript{173}

**Restrictive Diseases**

Cases have been described of patients with kyphoscoliosis or neuromuscular diseases in whom long air journeys generated right heart failure,\textsuperscript{174} presumably linked to the hypoxia maintained during the flight. From a theoretical point of view, in patients with nonhypercapnic restrictive disease (caused by involvement of the parenchyma), who present a risk of hypoxia during the flight, oxygen would be indicated to reduce the impact of hypoxemia on pulmonary hypertension. In patients with restrictive diseases who use mechanical ventilation (for extrapulmonary involvement), it is recommendable that they carry the apparatus with them during the flight, even if they only use it at night. Clearly, patients with continuous ventilation should carefully assess the journey since they will need to use the ventilator throughout the travel period, including during airport transfers.

From a logistic perspective, it is very important to confirm the hand luggage that the patient can carry, especially in relation to wheelchairs, the ventilator, and the spare battery. In the case of patients with severe disability, most airlines require the presence of an escort and consider that 1 person can take responsibility for
2 passengers with disability. The patient should also consider the physical space that he or she may require. It is usually recommendable to make direct contact with the airline company to assess all the patient’s requirements.115

Sleep Apnea-Hypopnea Syndrome

There are few reports in the literature on the impact of air travel in patients with sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome (SAHS). Some complications have been associated with long journeys followed by a period at altitude. All patients with SAHS should avoid consumption of alcohol immediately before and during the flight. Patients in a severe condition should employ continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) during long flights. To this end, they should have a dry cell battery available for use as an energy source for the equipment.

Asthma

Although the low humidity of the air in aircraft cabins may favor the development of bronchospasm due to loss of water from the bronchial mucosa, asthma attacks during air travel are thought to be rare. In addition, it is sometimes difficult to differentiate them from dyspnea due to hyperventilation or panic. More recently, a higher incidence of episodes of bronchospasm requiring treatment during flight has been described.115

Patients with controlled asthma and no respiratory failure do not present problems for air travel, although they should ensure that they have their medication to hand. Patients with severe asthma with frequent exacerbations and serious attacks should ensure that the disease is well controlled prior to the day of the flight.

Since 2004, the emergency medication in most aircraft includes bronchodilators, both in pressurized cartridges and for injection. However, in case of an attack, patients are recommended to take their normal rescue medication.117

Lung Cancer

Patients with primary tumors or metastases can generally fly safely. Nevertheless, it may be necessary to consider measures to alleviate hypoxemia or pain.

Pneumothorax

Pneumothorax is a contraindication for air travel. A patient will only be allowed to fly when the lung has been completely reinflated. The patient should not be allowed to fly until 72 hours after pleural drainage has been withdrawn and with a radiograph performed 48 hours after completion of drainage to confirm resolution of the pneumothorax.4

Optionally, some airline companies may accept transport of a passenger with a pleural drain. In that case, it is difficult to guarantee continuous aspiration during the flight, it is recommended that a Heimlich valve be used.11

In exceptional cases it may be necessary to evacuate a pneumothorax during the flight. This should only be done by trained staff and when the cabin pressure corresponds to sea level.12

Chest Injury

Simple rib fractures do not usually present problems during the flight, particularly when there is no lung damage or prior pulmonary disease.12 The main problem associated with such fractures is pain, which can reduce ventilation. Therefore, it is important that adequate analgesia is guaranteed during the flight. Multiple fractures may cause thoracic instability and, in that case, the requirement for specialized transport should be considered.

Flights should be postponed in all patients with acute respiratory failure due to lung contusion until lung function returns to normal.12 Likewise, mediastinal or subcutaneous emphysema constitutes a contraindication for travel on commercial flights.12 In any of those situations, if air travel is essential an air ambulance is required.

Thoracic Surgery

Although individual assessment is necessary, as a general rule patients are advised not to fly until at least 2 weeks after the operation.7

Organization and Logistics

Patients with respiratory diseases who require oxygen on board or some form of health care during the flight are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 7</th>
<th>General Considerations Regarding Venous Thromboembolic Disease and Air Travel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The association between venous thromboembolic disease (VTD) and air travel is weak.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The clearest risk is for presentation of asymptomatic deep vein thrombosis (DVT) restricted to the calf area.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptomatic episodes of VTD, including fatal pulmonary thromboembolism, are rare.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The risk is increased in journeys lasting more than 6 hours in patients with additional risk factors. Regular movement of the legs and hydration should be a general recommendation.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In passengers with other risk factors for venous thromboembolism, the decision to implement other prophylactic measures should be made on an individual basis. Knee-length compression stockings are effective and reduce the incidence of DVT.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low molecular weight heparin is effective in patients at high risk of thrombosis.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In general, a single high-risk prophylactic dose of low molecular weight heparin appears to be sufficient but should be assessed on an individual basis.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aspirin is ineffective and should not be recommended.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TABLE 8
General Recommendations for Patients With Respiratory Diseases During Air Travel

| Obtain a report of the clinical condition of the patient that includes the most recent functional assessment and treatment. This is essential if the stay is for a number of weeks and the destination does not have the usual health care resources. |
| In countries in which smoking is still allowed inside the aircraft, the patient must be seated in a non-smoking area. |
| Avoid excessive alcohol consumption prior to and during the flight, especially in cases of apnea-hypopnea syndrome and risk of venous thromboembolic disease. |
| Move around during long flights, unless oxygen is required. If oxygen is required, it should be used if possible while moving inside the plane (with an extension to allow movement). Prophylactic measures should be taken to reduce the risk of thromboembolism. |
| Carry required medication, especially rescue inhalers, in hand luggage. If medication is checked with baggage, ensure that it is not affected by the extreme conditions in the hold. |
| Passengers may receive oxygen through masks located in the terminal. To date, the only approved models are manufactured by Inogen (www.inogen.net) and Airsep (www.airsep.com). It should be noted that most companies do not allow the use of liquid oxygen on board. If the patient wishes to transport a portable liquid oxygen system it must be checked in empty and filled on arrival at the destination. |

In general, in-flight oxygen is administered at flow rates of 2 or 4 L/min, and exceptionally, at 8 L/min. The medical department of the airline company may require that the patient be accompanied by an escort trained in the use of the oxygen therapy system. In most cases, provision of oxygen during the flight is a service paid for by the passenger. As a guide, from January 2006 the Spanish airline Iberia charges €165 per flight and requires at least 48 hours notice prior to departure of the flight or 24 hours in the case of emergencies. In more exceptional cases, some companies may insist that a second seat is purchased for the oxygen source.

Previously experiences of travel with patients requiring oxygen therapy or mechanical ventilation show that the main problems arise during transfer of the patients. In general, most companies only provide oxygen during the period of time inside the plane or during transfer between planes of the same company. If oxygen is required during boarding or while waiting in the airport, the passenger should inform the medical services of the company to organize specialized transport, such as ambulance transfer to the plane. Transport with oxygen during the flight does not represent an exceptional situation. Data from the airline Iberia indicate that 2000 persons require supplementary oxygen in flight each year.

It is also possible to use CPAP equipment or ventilators during flights. In that case, patients should carry their own equipment, since it is not provided by airlines. It is important to mention that, since the great majority of commercial aircraft do not have plug sockets in the cabin, the patient should carry a dry cell battery to independently power the equipment.

Permission to use CPAP or a ventilator on board must also be requested when making the reservation and requires authorization by the medical department of the company. In general, an escort is not required for the use of CPAP.
whereas mechanical ventilation usually demands the presence of an assistant trained in its use. Patients who are completely dependent on a ventilator and cannot tolerate temporary disconnection of the equipment during takeoff and landing, or in the event of other occurrences, cannot fly in commercial aircraft. In such cases, the use of air ambulances is necessary. Nevertheless, there is a marked diversity in the regulations, availability, cost, and ease of oxygen provision during air travel,10 making it advisable for patients or their representatives to determine the criteria established by the company with which they intend to fly. This information can be obtained directly from travel agencies, when making a reservation, or via the webpage of the British Lung Foundation.100

Finally, all patients with respiratory diseases who intend to fly are advised to consider certain general recommendations (Table 8) and even to access specific information sources for patients.101,102
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APPENDIX 2
Centers With Hypobaric Chambers in Spain

For Passengers
1. Individuals with tuberculosis (TB) with the possibility of between-individual transfer, such as sputum-positive patients, must postpone their journey until they are no longer a potential source of transmission.

For Physicians and Health Authorities
2. If the history of a patient with TB who could transmit the disease shows that he or she has recently undertaken a journey by air (eg, within the last 3 months), the physician should immediately inform the health authorities in the declaration of the TB case.
3. The health authorities should immediately contact the airline company if the person has undertaken a journey lasting at least 8 hours in a commercial aircraft during the last 3 months.

For the Airline Companies
4. Airline companies should work closely with health authorities in the provision of information to passengers and flight crew who may have been exposed to Mycobacterium tuberculosis as well as in the identification of those passengers who should be informed.
5. Airline companies should cooperate closely with health authorities in the provision of information to passengers and flight crew who may have been exposed to M. tuberculosis as well as in the identification of those passengers who should be informed.
6. Airline companies should require the home and work addresses and telephone numbers of passengers so that they can be informed in the event of potential health risks (exposure to M. tuberculosis or other infectious diseases, exposure to toxins, etc).
7. Airline companies should ensure that all crew receive appropriate training in first aid and the use of universal precautions regarding exposure to biologic fluids. All aircraft must be equipped with emergency medical supplies (including gloves, masks containing high efficiency particulate air [HEPA] filters, and biohazard bags).
8. Airline companies must have prearranged access to physicians with experience in transmissible disease who are available for subsequent consultation by health authorities.
9. Records of all diseases and medical emergencies must be kept for at least 3 years.
10. Long delays should be reduced to a minimum and HEPA filters should be installed and maintained at maximum efficiency (99.97% at 0.3 µm).

APPENDIX 3
Ten Recommendations of the World Health Organization to Prevent Transmission of Tuberculosis During Air Travel

For Passengers
1. Individuals with tuberculosis (TB) with the possibility of between-individual transfer, such as sputum-positive patients, must postpone their journey until they are no longer a potential source of transmission.

For Physicians and Health Authorities
2. If the history of a patient with TB who could transmit the disease shows that he or she has recently undertaken a journey by air (eg, within the last 3 months), the physician should immediately inform the health authorities in the declaration of the TB case.
3. The health authorities should immediately contact the airline company if the person has undertaken a journey lasting at least 8 hours in a commercial aircraft during the last 3 months.

For the Airline Companies
4. Airline companies should work closely with health authorities in the provision of information to passengers and flight crew who may have been exposed to Mycobacterium tuberculosis as well as in the identification of those passengers who should be informed.
5. Airline companies should cooperate closely with health authorities in the provision of information to passengers and flight crew who may have been exposed to M. tuberculosis as well as in the identification of those passengers who should be informed.
6. Airline companies should require the home and work addresses and telephone numbers of passengers so that they can be informed in the event of potential health risks (exposure to M. tuberculosis or other infectious diseases, exposure to toxins, etc).
7. Airline companies should ensure that all crew receive appropriate training in first aid and the use of universal precautions regarding exposure to biologic fluids. All aircraft must be equipped with emergency medical supplies (including gloves, masks containing high efficiency particulate air [HEPA] filters, and biohazard bags).
8. Airline companies must have prearranged access to physicians with experience in transmissible disease who are available for subsequent consultation by health authorities.
9. Records of all diseases and medical emergencies must be kept for at least 3 years.
10. Long delays should be reduced to a minimum and HEPA filters should be installed and maintained at maximum efficiency (99.97% at 0.3 µm).
1. Establish a screening system organized by the authorities in the affected regions in which all passengers are assessed by health workers at the point of departure.

2. In case of suspicion during the flight, isolation measures should be taken for subjects who are suspected to carry the disease (provision of an exclusive bathroom, covering the mouth and nostrils of the patient with an appropriately protective mask) and the health authorities at the destination point should be informed about the suspicion.

3. Management of contacts. Contacts are considered as all individuals seated in the 2 rows closest to the index case and all those who have had close contact with the index case prior to or during the journey. If the affected individual is a member of the cabin crew, all passengers are considered contacts. It is obligatory for the health authorities to identify and locate the whereabouts of those individuals for the following 14 days and to contact the health authorities immediately if they develop any symptoms.

4. The aircraft should be disinfected according to World Health Organization guidelines.30

---

**APPENDIX 4**

**Recommendations of the World Health Organization to Prevent Transmission of the Virus That Causes Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome During Air Travel**

1. Establish a screening system organized by the authorities in the affected regions in which all passengers are assessed by health workers at the point of departure.

2. In case of suspicion during the flight, isolation measures should be taken for subjects who are suspected to carry the disease (provision of an exclusive bathroom, covering the mouth and nostrils of the patient with an appropriately protective mask) and the health authorities at the destination point should be informed about the suspicion.

3. Management of contacts. Contacts are considered as all individuals seated in the 2 rows closest to the index case and all those who have had close contact with the index case prior to or during the journey. If the affected individual is a member of the cabin crew, all passengers are considered contacts. It is obligatory for the health authorities to identify and locate the whereabouts of those individuals for the following 14 days and to contact the health authorities immediately if they develop any symptoms.

4. The aircraft should be disinfected according to World Health Organization guidelines.30
APPENDIX 5
INCAD/MEDIF Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To be completed</th>
<th>SALES OFFICER/AGENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INFORMATION SHEET FOR PASSENGERS REQUIRING SPECIAL ASSISTANCE**

Transfer from one flight to another after required connecting time.

---

**ARCHIVOS DE LA ARGENTINA**
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### Appendix 5

**INCAD/MEDIF Form (continued from page 124)**

#### Medical Information Sheet — (MEDIF)

**MEDICOS ATTENDIDOS**

**Name and Address**

**Phone/Contact**

**Business**

**Home**

**Date of operation**

**Date of diagnosis**

**PROGRESSO**

**Signs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sign</th>
<th>Present?</th>
<th>Specify</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Did the physical or mental condition of the patient, or their family or advisor, affect the passenger?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sign</th>
<th>Present?</th>
<th>Specify</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Did you take care of the event needs on board?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sign</th>
<th>Present?</th>
<th>Specify</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Was the patient's treatment prescribed by the attending physician?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sign</th>
<th>Present?</th>
<th>Specify</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Did the patient need any medication?**

| (a) on the ground (b) on board of the aircraft |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| (c) on the ground (d) on board of the aircraft |
| (e) on the ground (f) on board of the aircraft |
| (g) on the ground (h) on board of the aircraft |

**Date of operation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Date of diagnosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other remarks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other remarks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PASSPORTER'S DECLARATIONS**

**I hereby declare that...**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I hereby declare that...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Passenger's Signature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Passenger's Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**APPENDIX 5**

**INCAD/MEDIF Form (continued from page 124)**
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