Impact of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease on Activities of Daily Living: Results of the Multicenter EIME Study
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OBJECTIVE: The aim of this study was to determine the impact of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) on activities of daily living in a large group of patients in Spain who responded to a specific questionnaire. A second aim was to explore the practical utility of the questionnaire and determine which variables could be used to identify “fragile” patients or patients in greater need of attention. To do this, we examined the relationship between questionnaire results and clinical variables, lung function measurements, socioeconomic status, and validated quality of life questionnaires.

METHODS: We conducted an observational, descriptive, multicenter, cross-sectional study in which 227 respiratory specialists from all over Spain collected data from 1057 patients with COPD. Each patient was given a specific questionnaire containing 7 items that measured the extent to which COPD affected different aspects of their lives. The patients rated each item on a scale of 0 to 2, depending on the level of impact. Total possible scores, thus, ranged from 0 to 14, and patients with a score of 9 or higher were classified as fragile. We then explored the relationship between questionnaire results and clinical variables, socioeconomic status, spirometric values, and quality of life as measured by the St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ). The questionnaire results and clinical variables, socioeconomic status, and validated quality of life questionnaires.

RESULTS: We studied 1057 patients (95.2% male) with a mean (SD) age of 67 (9) years and a mean predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) of 41.8% (13.3%). The mean questionnaire score was 6.3 (3.1). The activities that were affected most were sport and leisure, habitual physical activity, and sex life (major impact reported by 52.5%, 30.3%, and 20.2% of patients, respectively). We found a correlation between questionnaire scores and known disease severity markers such as SGRQ scores, dyspnea, number of exacerbations, and FEV1, in liters. Patients included in the fragile category were older and had a lower socioeconomic status.

CONCLUSIONS: COPD impact questionnaire scores correlated well with SGRQ scores and the usual clinical variables and lung function measurements for evaluating disease severity (dyspnea, FEV1, and exacerbations). The questionnaire could, therefore, be a useful tool for identifying fragile patients who, in addition to having poorer clinical status and lung function measurements, have a lower socioeconomic status.
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activity física habitual y vida sexual (mucha afectación en el 52,5, el 30,3 y el 28,5% de los pacientes, respectivamente).

Conclusions: El cuestionario de impacto de la EPOC se correlacionó bien con los clásicos parámetros clínicos y funcionales de valoración de la gravedad de la enfermedad (disnea, FEV1 y exacerbaciones) y con el cuestionario de calidad de vida relacionada con la salud SGRQ. Así pues, podría ser un instrumento útil para identificar un perfil de paciente frágil, que presenta, además de una peor situación clínica y funcional, una situación socioeconómica más desfavorable.

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a common cause of illness and death that places considerable demands on health care systems in developed countries. In Spain, it is believed that 8% to 10% of the adult population and over 20% of the male population over the age of 65 years may have COPD. Disease severity and progression have traditionally been measured using clinical markers such as decline in lung function measured by forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1), dyspnea score, and number of exacerbations and hospital admissions. More recently, however, variables such as exercise tolerance and body mass index (BMI) have been introduced and have proven useful in combination with the traditional ones for predicting disease progression. The impact of COPD on activities of daily living (ADLs), however, has received little attention. Health-related quality of life (HRQL) scores have been used in addition to physiological and even clinical measurements to evaluate health status and the impact of disease on patient well-being. Although HRQL questionnaires address important aspects related to respiratory symptoms and their impact on daily life, they neglect others such as how disease affects patients’ social, family, or work relationships, or sex life, for example. A few years ago, a telephone interview study of the impact of COPD conducted in 8 countries among patients with a self-reported history of the disease found that they tended to underestimate the severity of their condition in many aspects. Over a third of those interviewed, for example, stated that they had stopped working because of their disease and respondents under 65 years experienced as many restrictions to their social, family, and sex lives as those over 65 years. Sometimes they reported experiencing more. However, the study did not measure disease severity according to FEV1, or analyze correlations between variables and standardized HRQL scores, it is impossible to determine whether important questionnaires such as that used in the 8-country study are an effective means of identifying particularly “fragile” COPD patients, ie, patients in need of greater attention.

The aim of this study was to describe how COPD influenced ADLs in affected patients in our setting using a purpose-designed impact questionnaire. We analyzed the relationship between impact scores and a series of independent variables such as clinical parameters, lung function measurements, socioeconomic status, and validated HRQL measures, specifically the St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ). We also investigated whether any of these variables could be used to identify fragile patients.

Methods

Study

The EIME study was an observational, descriptive, multicenter, cross-sectional survey designed to assess the impact of COPD on patients. All of the participants had stable COPD and were monitored by respiratory medicine specialists in an outpatient setting. The study methods are described in detail in a previous publication that describes determining factors of quality of life in COPD patients. Briefly, 250 respiratory medicine specialists from all over Spain were asked to gather information on unselected COPD patients they had seen in the winter of 2004. Of these 250 specialists, 227 finally participated in the study. The study was reported to the Spanish health authorities and complied with national regulations relative to observational studies. All the data entered in the database was coded to maintain confidentiality.

Population

The study population comprised adult COPD patients who visited outpatient respiratory medicine departments in different parts of Spain. Inclusion criteria were: 1) age over 40 years with COPD, defined by FEV1 of less than 70% of predicted and a FEV1/forced vital capacity ratio of less than 70%; 2) clinical stability, defined by an interval of at least 6 weeks since the patient’s last exacerbation; 3) smoking (current or former) with a smoking history of at least 10 pack-years; and 4) consent to participate in study. Exclusion criteria were: 1) no smoking history; 2) diagnosis of bronchial asthma, cystic fibrosis, or bronchiectasis that were clinically significant or not due to COPD (tuberculosis, childhood infections, immune deficiencies, severe mobility, or cognitive disorders); 3) diagnosis of serious chronic disease other than COPD that could limit ADLs (active neoplastic disease, acquired immune deficiency syndrome, heart failure, serious kidney or liver failure, etc); and 4) inability to complete an HRQL questionnaire.

Data Collection

Data were collected in face-to-face interviews during the patients’ visits to the respiratory medicine specialist and recorded on case report forms. A comprehensive purpose-designed clinical questionnaire was used to solicit the information required. The questionnaire addressed the following aspects: medical history, level of education, socioeconomic status, clinical assessment of COPD, Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnea score, number of exacerbations, defined by deterioration of symptoms that required treatment changes, number of emergency department visits or hospital admissions; treatments; lung function measurements; and HRQL score obtained using the SGRQ completed by patients during visit.
with relevant legislation. The structure, content, and purpose of the database in compliance with the Spanish regulations (Article 13.6 of the Spanish regulations for Personal Data Protection). The Spanish authorities were notified of the above and a Committee of Ethics in Research was appointed. Data recording and analysis was performed at the Drug Farma (Drug Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA) coordinating center. All data received were entered into a database online (www.eime.es). Each researcher was given a user name and password, and patient confidentiality was guaranteed by not including any identifying data.

Researchers could also choose to complete the questionnaire online (www.eime.es). Each researcher was given a user name and password, and patient confidentiality was guaranteed by not including any identifying data.

Researchers could also choose to complete a printed version of the questionnaire and send it by ordinary mail to the Drug Institute. All data received were entered into a database located in the data recording and analysis center (Drug Farma, SA, Madrid, Spain). The Spanish authorities were notified of the structure, content, and purpose of the database in compliance with relevant legislation.

Statistical Analysis

The main dependent variable was the impact of COPD on ADLs as measured by the 7 questionnaire items. The independent variables were clinical variables, socioeconomic data, HRQOL scores, and lung function measurements as discussed above. Quantitative variables were expressed as means (SD) and qualitative variables as frequencies and percentages. The χ² and Fisher exact tests were used to analyze relationships between qualitative data. The Mann–Whitney test was used for nonnormally distributed variables and small sample groups. Impact questionnaire scores were correlated with all the independent variables using analysis of variance. Statistical significance was set at a value of P<.05. All the data were analyzed using the statistics software package SAS 6.04 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

Results

Information was received for a total of 1124 patients recruited by the 227 respiratory specialists who participated in the study. Forty-three patients were excluded because they did not meet the lung function criterion, and 25 were excluded because they had never smoked (n=13) or because they had a smoking history of less than 10 pack-years (n=12). The final number of patients enrolled was therefore 1057 (95.4% men and 4.6% women), and the mean (SD) age was 66.8 (10) years (range, 40–90 years).

The mean score on the impact questionnaire was 6.3 (3.1). The distribution of these scores is shown in Figure 1. As one of our aims was to identify patients in greater need of attention, we established the 75th percentile value of 9 as our arbitrary cutoff for fragility. Tables 1 and 2 show the socioeconomic and clinical characteristics of our sample, respectively. Of particular note is the low educational level of the sample: just over 20% of patients had secondary education or higher. Middle socioeconomic status was predominant (63.3%) and over 73% of patients were pensioners. It is also interesting to note that 10.1% of patients were on sick leave, mostly due to COPD (9%) (Table 1). We found significant socioeconomic differences between fragile and nonfragile patients. The first group was significantly older, had a lower socioeconomic status, and included fewer home owners and more pensioners (Table 1). As far as smoking is concerned, almost 20% of the population were active smokers and the number of pack-years (53.5 [29.8]) was high. Consumption was significantly greater in fragile patients than in the nonfragile ones. The main clinical symptom was dyspnea (experienced by 97.3% of patients) and mild and moderate dyspnea predominated. This was the only symptom for which we did not find significant differences between fragile and nonfragile patients (Table 2). When dyspnea was evaluated on the MRC scale, we found that a significant majority of fragile patients reported higher grades of severity (Figure 2). The mean number of exacerbations in the preceding 12 months was 2.2 (2.7). We also found significant differences between fragile and nonfragile patients in terms of number of exacerbations, emergency department visits due to COPD, hospital admissions, and presence of concomitant diseases (Table 2). The mean FEV₁ was 41.8% (13.2%), and the majority of patients (49.5%) had severe disease according to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease staging system (Table 2). Lung function impairment was significantly greater in fragile patients compared to nonfragile patients: 85% had FEV₁ values of less than 50% of predicted (Figure 2). BMI scores were also significantly lower in these patients (Figure 2). The mean SGRQ score was 47.6 (20.2), and differences between fragile and nonfragile patients in terms of symptoms, activity, and impacts were significant (Table 2).

Table 3 shows the restrictions experienced by patients in terms of the different activities addressed by the impact questionnaire. As can be seen, sport and leisure, habitual physical activity, and sex life were affected most. Finally, we found that impact score correlated best with overall SGRQ score (F=77.78, P<.0001), dyspnea (F=33.07,
TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sociodemographic Characteristics of Patients*</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Fragile Patients</th>
<th>Nonfragile Patients</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample, n</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>270 (25.5%)</td>
<td>786 (74.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), y (n=1051 [267/784])</td>
<td>66.8 (9.0)</td>
<td>68.2 (8.7)</td>
<td>66.3 (9.0)</td>
<td>.0465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men, n</td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, n</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>13 (4.9%)</td>
<td>35 (4.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status, n</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>.0415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>866 (82.6%)</td>
<td>214 (79.9%)</td>
<td>652 (83.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/separated</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9 (3.4%)</td>
<td>24 (3.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>10 (3.7%)</td>
<td>48 (6.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>35 (13.1%)</td>
<td>56 (7.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of education, n</td>
<td>1055</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to read or write</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>13 (4.8%)</td>
<td>25 (3.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete primary education</td>
<td>412 (39.1%)</td>
<td>130 (48.1%)</td>
<td>282 (35.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete primary education</td>
<td>375 (35.5%)</td>
<td>88 (32.6%)</td>
<td>287 (36.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary education</td>
<td>160 (15.2%)</td>
<td>24 (8.9%)</td>
<td>136 (17.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher education</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>15 (5.6%)</td>
<td>55 (7.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic level, n</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>.0095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>323 (31.4%)</td>
<td>110 (40.9%)</td>
<td>213 (27.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>668 (65.6%)</td>
<td>147 (54.6%)</td>
<td>521 (66.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>59 (5.6%)</td>
<td>12 (4.5%)</td>
<td>47 (6.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home owners, n</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>.0055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>970 (92.6%)</td>
<td>255 (88.7%)</td>
<td>715 (92.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>78 (7.4%)</td>
<td>30 (11.3%)</td>
<td>48 (6.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status, n</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>15 (1.4%)</td>
<td>5 (1.9%)</td>
<td>10 (1.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On sick leave</td>
<td>107 (10.2%)</td>
<td>40 (14.8%)</td>
<td>67 (8.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>779 (74.2%)</td>
<td>216 (80.3%)</td>
<td>563 (72.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>149 (14.2%)</td>
<td>9 (3.3%)</td>
<td>140 (17.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current social situation, n</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>.0056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living in nursing or retirement home</td>
<td>5 (0.5%)</td>
<td>3 (1.1%)</td>
<td>2 (0.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living with relatives</td>
<td>270 (25.7%)</td>
<td>71 (26.3%)</td>
<td>199 (25.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living with partner</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>171 (64.1%)</td>
<td>534 (68.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living alone</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>18 (6.7%)</td>
<td>60 (7.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are shown as number of patients (percentage), unless otherwise indicated.
†Statistically significant difference.
‡Statistically significant difference.
§Chi-square test.
||Fisher exact test.

P<.001, number of exacerbations (F=14.22; P<.0002), and FEV1 in liters (F=11.12; P<.008) (Figure 3).

Discussion

The present study has shown that COPD has a considerable impact on ADLs in patients. The administration of a simple, quick questionnaire can show which aspects of daily life are most affected by the disease and also identify patients who are particularly fragile, either due to the severity of their disease or the existence of social, economic, or occupational factors that could interfere with the management of their disease or complicate its progression.

EIME was a descriptive study of the impact of COPD on patients that involved the participation of 227 respiratory medicine specialists from all over Spain. As patients were enrolled consecutively, in principle the study population cannot be considered representative of the COPD population in Spain, an important point to bear in mind when interpreting the results of the study.

Nonetheless, the fact that a large number of patients, respiratory medicine specialists, and outpatient centers from all over Spain participated in the study means that its results provide quite a clear picture of the COPD patients who visit respiratory medicine outpatient departments in Spain in terms of their socioeconomic, clinical, and lung function status and the impact of disease on ADLs. In a previous analysis of the EIME study in which patient quality of life was investigated, we found that the SGRQ score was the only variable that was significantly and independently associated with a prior history of exacerbations and hospital admissions. In this study we have analyzed the practical utility of using a questionnaire specifically designed to assess the impact of COPD on patients’ ADLs and investigated correlations between the resulting scores and known disease severity markers such as lung function measurements, socioeconomic variables, and SGRQ scores.

A first observation of note was that the sociodemographic data revealed that a large proportion of patients in our study population were male, pensioners, upper middle aged, and of a low educational level. These findings are consistent with recent ones for Spain published by Esteban and colleagues, who studied 611 patients in 5 outpatient
departments, and by de Miguel Díez and colleagues, who studied 560 patients randomly selected by primary care physicians and respiratory medicine specialists from all over Spain. The majority of patients lived with partners or relatives, and very few lived alone (7.6%) or in nursing or retirement homes (0.5%). These findings are similar to others in the literature recently, such as the aforementioned study by Esteban et al, but they differ from situations reported in other countries where more COPD patients live alone.

The main aim of this study was to determine the impact of COPD on ADLs. We used a modified version of the...
questionnaire published by Rennard and colleagues\(^7\) and had physicians question their patients on varying aspects of their daily lives. The second aim of the study was to analyze the relationship between scores obtained and a range of independent variables such as socioeconomic characteristics, clinical parameters, lung function measurements, and SGRQ scores. By doing so, we sought to assess the practical utility of the questionnaire and determine a patient profile that would help to identify patients requiring greater attention. Any patients who scored 9 or higher on the impact questionnaire were placed in our fragile category. The score of 9 corresponded to the 75th percentile value of distribution of observed impact scores. On analyzing the relationship between socioeconomic variables and fragility, we found that we were able to define a social profile for fragile patients that was characterized by older age, a lower level of education and economic status, and a greater probability of being single, widowed, or living in a nursing or retirement home.

### Table 2

| Smoking Habit, Clinical Parameters, Lung Function Measurements, and Quality of Life* | All | Fragile Patients | Nonfragile Patients | p
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Smoking, n** | 1039 | 266 | 773 | 0.822
| Active smokers | 204 (19.6%) | 51 (19.2%) | 153 (19.8%) | .822
| Ex-smokers | 835 (80.4%) | 215 (80.8%) | 620 (80.2%) | .822
| Pack-years (n=893) | 53.5 (29.8) | 56.9 (31.7) | 52.3 (29.1) | .049
| **Cough** | 586 (55.5%) | 154 (57.0%) | 432 (55.0%) | .571
| Expectation | 442 (41.9%) | 133 (41.9%) | 329 (41.9%) | .999
| Dyspnea | 1020 (96.6%) | 266 (98.5%) | 754 (95.9%) | .025
| No. of exacerbations (n=1029 [263/767]) | 2.2 (2.7) | 3.2 (3.4) | 1.8 (2.3) | <0.001
| No. of visits to doctor (n=1027[277/767]) | 2.1 (2.9) | 3.2 (3.5) | 1.7 (2.4) | <0.001
| No. of visits to emergency departments due to COPD (n=1032 [285/767]) | 1.1 (1.9) | 1.8 (2.4) | 0.8 (1.7) | <0.001
| No. of hospital admissions due to COPD (n=1029 [263/767]) | 0.6 (1.4) | 1.0 (1.8) | 0.5 (1.2) | <0.001
| FEV1, L (n=1020 [263/757]) | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.4) | <0.001
| FEV1, % (n=995 [255/740]) | 41.8 (13.3%) | 36.2 (13.1%) | 43.8 (12.8%) | <0.001
| FEV1, GOLD (n=995) | 705 | 740 | <0.001
| ***Very severe** | 198 (19.9%) | 96 (37.6%) | 102 (13.9%) | <0.001
| **Severe** | 523 (52.6%) | 120 (47.1%) | 403 (54.5%) | <0.001
| **Moderate** | 274 (27.5%) | 39 (15.3%) | 235 (31.8%) | <0.001
| Concomitant diseases (n=1037 [268/769]) | 62% | 72.8% | 58.3% | 0.58
| No | 38% | 27.2% | 41.7% | .58
| SGRQ (n=1056 [270/786]) | | | |
| **Overall score** | 47.8 (20.2%) | 63.4 (17.2%) | 42.5 (18.4%) | <0.001
| Symptoms | 52.9 (22.8%) | 55 (20.3%) | 48.4 (21.4%) | <0.001
| Activity | 61.4 (23.2%) | 77.6 (18.6%) | 55.8 (21.9%) | <0.001
| Impact | 38.7 (21.7%) | 54.4 (19.2%) | 33.3 (19.8%) | <0.001
| Impact score (n=1056 [270/786]) | 6.3 (3.1) | 10.3 (1.4) | 5.0 (2.2) | <0.001

*Data are shown as number of patients (percentage) or means (SD).

\( FEV1 \) indicates forced expiratory volume in 1 second; GOLD, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; SGRQ, St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire.

†: Group of 17 patients were excluded from this analysis as it was not known whether they were active or ex-smokers.

‡: χ² test.

§: Statistically significant differences.

||: Mann–Whitney test.

¶: Fisher exact test.

# Reference values taken from Roca and colleagues.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree of Limitation in Activities of Daily Living in the Study Population (n=1056)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Activity** | No Limitation | Minor Limitation | Major Limitation | Information
| Sport and leisure | 15.5% | 26.8% | 52.5% | 5.3%
| Habitual physical activity | 6.3% | 63.3% | 30.3% | 0.2%
| Social activities | 31.5% | 58.2% | 9.3% | 1.0%
| Family activities | 37.4% | 53.8% | 8% | 0.9%
| Sleep | 41.1% | 50.3% | 8% | 0.7%
| Household chores | 31.6% | 48.7% | 14% | 5.8%
| Sex life | 24.1% | 47.4% | 20.2% | 8.4%
questionnaire, we believe that socioeconomic status may be an independent variable that exerts a negative effect on other daily activities performed by the patient. The literature contains several reports of how low socioeconomic status is associated with decreased impact on ADLs as measured by traditional quality of life.14-16 This may indicate that COPD needs to be studied from a broader perspective that includes socioeconomic factors.

We found a good correlation between impact scores and known severity markers such as dyspnea, exacerbations, FEV1, values, and SGRQ scores. Nonetheless, several studies have shown that dyspnea measured using simple scales such as the MRC scale correlates well with the ability to perform ADLs. Bestall and colleagues,17 for example, showed that the ability to perform ADLs decreased with increased dyspnea, while Garrod and colleagues18 found a close correlation between disease severity and MRC grade 3-5 dyspnea when validating an ADL questionnaire. Using the same impact questionnaire, Rennard and colleagues7 found that the majority of patients have shown that dyspnea measured using simple scales as these alone cannot evaluate the true impact of disease on daily life.

The introduction of HRQL questionnaires was a step forward in this respect. Studies of patients with COPD have shown that quality of life is weakly or moderately correlated with lung function test results and more closely correlated with dyspnea and exercise intolerance.17,19 Although they are useful measurement tools, HRQL questionnaires mainly address aspects related to respiratory symptoms and how these affect daily life. They do not provide information on broader aspects such as social, affective, or professional relationships. In addition, they need to be self-administered and this can limit their widespread use among COPD patients and even call their validity into question when used, for example, with patients who are elderly, or have a low level of education, or reading difficulties.

Our patients had a mean SGRQ score of 47.9, which places them at the limit of the 95th percentile of scores for the general Spanish population of the same age group. This score is very similar to the mean score of 46 reported by de Miguel Díez20 for a group of 460 COPD patients monitored by respiratory medicine specialists in Spain. This highlights the importance of using measurement tools other than traditional lung function tests and dyspnea scales as these alone cannot evaluate the true impact of disease on daily life.

In conclusion, scores from our COPD impact questionnaire correlated well with clinical variables, traditional disease severity markers (dyspnea, FEV1, and exacerbations) and SGRQ questionnaire scores. The questionnaire has added value, however, as it can be used to probe for new information. In our case, we were able to create a fragile patient profile, characterized by impaired clinical and lung function status, and a poorer socioeconomic background.
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APPENDIX

Questionnaire on Impact of COPD on Activities of Daily Living*

1. Sport and leisure (swimming, cycling, petanque, etc)
2. Habitual physical activity (walking, climbing stairs, etc)
3. Social activities (visiting friends, going to the cinema, going to the bar, etc)
4. Family activities (family get-togethers, looking after grandchildren, etc)
5. Sleep
6. Household chores (sweeping, dusting, etc)
7. Sex life

Answers: 0 (not at all)
1 (some, a little)
2 (a lot)

*This is a close translation of the modified Spanish version of the original questionnaire created by the authors for this study. It is provided here for comprehension purposes only.