ORIGINAL ARTICLES

Thromboembolic Events in Patients After a Negative Computed Tomography Pulmonary Angiogram: a Retrospective Study of 165 Patients

David Jiménez,1 Mónica Gómez,1 Ruth Herrero,1 Eladio Lapresa,2 Gema Díaz,3 Luciano Lanzara,4 Carlos Escobar,1 Agustina Vicente,1 Javier Gandó,1 Luis Máz,7 and Antonio Sueiro8

1Sección de Neumología, Hospital Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain. 
2Servicio de Neumología, Hospital de la Zarzuela, Madrid, Spain. 
3Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain. 
4Servicio de Radiodiagnóstico, Hospital Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain.

OBJECTIVE: To determine the value of computed tomography (CT) angiography of the chest as a diagnostic test to exclude pulmonary embolism and to assess compliance with diagnostic protocols for thromboembolic disease.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: We retrospectively studied patients who underwent CT angiography of the chest because of suspected pulmonary embolism in 2004. All the patients were followed for 3 months. The percentage of patients diagnosed with a thromboembolic event based on an objective test during the follow-up period was determined. We analyzed the percentage of patients with a negative CT angiogram on whom additional diagnostic tests (ultrasound of the lower limbs and/or ventilation-perfusion lung scintigraphy) were performed.

RESULTS: One hundred sixty-five patients underwent CT angiography of the chest because of suspected pulmonary embolism in 2004. Four of the patients were excluded from the study because they were on chronic anticoagulation therapy and a further 2 were excluded because they had a life expectancy of under 3 months. Of the remaining 159 patients, 60 had CT angiograms that were interpreted as high probability for pulmonary embolism (prevalence of 38%). Thirty-five of the 99 patients with a negative CT angiogram experienced an objectively confirmed thromboembolic event (63% sensitivity; 95% confidence interval, 53%-73%). Other diagnostic tests were not performed in 46% of the cases.

CONCLUSIONS: In our setting, a negative single-detector helical CT angiogram was not sensitive enough to exclude the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism. Furthermore, compliance with internationally accepted diagnostic protocols was far from optimal.
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The diagnostic value of single-detector helical CT, however, has been shown to vary considerably in terms of both sensitivity (53%-100%) and specificity (73%-100%). In view of this variability, most clinicians diagnose pulmonary embolism when test results are positive and perform additional diagnostic tests (normally an ultrasonography of the lower limbs) when test results are negative. Such a strategy is supported by the findings of both a French multicenter study (ESSEP) and a Dutch multicenter study (ANTELOPE), which showed thromboembolic risk in the 3 months following a single-detector helical CT scan to be 1.1% (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.9%-3.2%) and 0.4% (95% CI, 0.2%-2.2%), respectively.

The emergence of multidetector-row CT angiography means that it is now possible to detect segmental and subsegmental emboli. Recently, for example, demonstrated that it is safe to use multidetector-row CT angiography to exclude pulmonary embolism without the need for further diagnostic tests. Their findings, however, cannot be extrapolated to settings with a different prevalence of thromboembolic disease.

Although pulmonary angiography is the gold standard for diagnosing pulmonary embolism, interobserver agreement is not very satisfactory when subsegmental emboli are concerned, and the method is also characterized by considerable morbidity and mortality. In recent years, therefore, an increasing number of follow-up studies have begun to focus on the yield of the different diagnostic approaches used. These studies tend to analyze the rate of symptomatic embolic events in patients not receiving anticoagulants with a negative diagnosis.

Although the main aim of our study was to evaluate the usefulness of thoracic CT angiography for ruling out pulmonary embolism, we also wished to assess compliance with diagnostic protocols for venous thromboembolic disease in our setting. In other words, we wished to calculate what percentage of patients with a negative CT angiogram were given further diagnostic tests.

Patients and Methods

Design

We performed a retrospective study of all the patients suspected of pulmonary embolism who underwent thoracic CT angiography in the emergency department at Hospital Ramón y Cajal in the period between January 2004 and December 2004. Each of the patients' medical histories was reviewed to determine the probability of their having a pulmonary embolism according to the scoring system described by Wells and colleagues, which places patients into low, intermediate, and high clinical probability groups. Any patient whose probability could not be measured was placed in the low probability group.

Patients

All patients who underwent thoracic CT angiography because of suspected pulmonary embolism in the study period were included. Excluded were patients on chronic anticoagulation therapy, patients with a life expectancy of under 3 months, and patients who could not be monitored at 3 months.

Diagnostic Tests

All the CT angiograms were interpreted by radiologists who were not specialized in pulmonary vascular disease. An Astexion single-slice 3500 CT scanner (Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan) was used to perform the helical CT angiograms in the study. Slice collimation was 3 mm, pitch was 1.3, and images were reconstructed at an interval of 1.5 mm. A contrast agent containing 370 mg/mL of iodine was injected intravenously at a rate of 3 mL/s. The images were then viewed at a workstation with a mediastinal window setting.

Recurrent thromboembolic events were diagnosed according to standard criteria: positive Doppler ultrasound findings for deep vein thrombosis, ventilation-perfusion scintigram showing high probability of pulmonary embolism following the PIOPED recommendations for high and intermediate clinical probability patients, and death attributed to pulmonary embolism by 2 researchers (DJ and MG).

Follow-up

All the patients with a negative CT angiogram and who were still alive were contacted 3 months after the angiogram and their medical histories were reviewed to analyze the occurrence of recurrent thrombotic events. Two of the researchers (DJ and MG) reviewed the histories of deceased patients to determine the most likely cause of death.

Statistical Analysis

The diagnostic value of CT angiography was expressed in terms of sensitivity, negative predictive value, and the
negative likelihood ratio. False negatives were defined as cases in which an objectively diagnosed recurrent thrombotic event had occurred in the 3-month follow-up period or in which the patient was judged by 2 researchers to have died as a result of pulmonary embolism. The Wilson score method was used to calculate the 95% CIs for the proportions.

Statistical significance was set at a value of $P < 0.05$.

Results

One hundred sixty-five CT angiograms were performed because of suspected pulmonary embolism at the emergency radiology department of Hospital Ramón y Cajal between January and December 2004 (Figure). Two patients with advanced neoplastic disease and 4 patients on chronic anticoagulation therapy (to treat chronic cardiac arrhythmia in all cases) were excluded from the study. The remaining 159 patients had a mean age of 68 years (95% CI, 66-70 years) and 57% were female. The baseline characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1.

Of the 159 CT angiograms performed, 60 (38%) were read as indicating high probability for pulmonary embolism and the corresponding patients were started on anticoagulation therapy. A thromboembolic event was diagnosed in 35 of the 99 patients with a negative CT angiogram, which is the equivalent of a sensitivity of 63% (95% CI, 53%-73%). The risk of developing a thrombotic event during the 3-month follow-up period in patients with a negative CT angiogram was 35% (95% CI, 26%-45%). Table 2 shows the diagnostic yield of CT angiography for the whole series of patients. The method offered an alternative diagnosis for 13 of the 65 patients who did not experience a thrombotic event (6 cases of emphysema, 4 cases of pneumonia, 2 neoplasms, and 1 aortic dissection) and for 1 patient who was diagnosed with pulmonary embolism in the follow-up period (alveolar pattern which had initially been interpreted as pneumonia).

Seven of the 35 thromboembolic events were diagnosed by ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy only (13 high-probability scintigrams in the high clinical probability group; 1 high-probability scintigram in the intermediate clinical probability group, and 3 intermediate-probability scintigrams). Three deaths were attributed to pulmonary embolism, and 15 patients were diagnosed with deep vein thrombosis following an ultrasound of the lower limbs. The sensitivity of CT angiography for our series was 72% (95% CI, 63%-81%), even if we define as correct diagnosis of thromboembolic events only those based on ultrasound of the lower limbs or high-probability ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy.
Table 3 compares the characteristics of patients with a true negative CT angiogram to those of patients with a false negative CT angiogram. High clinical probability was linked to a considerable increase in risk of thromboembolic recurrence in the 3 months following the CT angiogram. Risk was significantly reduced, on the other hand, by an alternative radiological diagnosis based on the CT angiogram.

Table 4 shows the distribution of diagnostic results according to clinical probability. The proportion of symptomatic thromboembolic events was significantly higher in the high clinical probability group. Forty-six percent of patients received no tests other than CT angiography (ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy and/or ultrasound of lower limbs) to exclude pulmonary embolism. More diagnostic tests were performed on patients in the intermediate and high clinical probability groups than in the low clinical probability group.

The CT angiogram and ultrasound of the lower limbs were negative in 18 patients. Thirteen (72%) of these patients experienced thromboembolic events. Eleven events occurred in the high clinical probability group (1 patient), 2 in the intermediate clinical probability group (4 patients), and none in the low clinical probability group (3 patients).

Discussion

Our experience indicates that a single-detector helical CT angiogram is not sensitive enough to rule out a diagnosis of pulmonary embolism. Negative test results were accompanied by an unacceptable rate of recurrence (28%) diagnosed using strict objective criteria. High clinical probability of pulmonary embolism and the absence of an alternative diagnosis on the basis of CT angiography findings considerably increased the risk of a recurrent thromboembolic event. Compliance with generally accepted diagnostic protocols for thromboembolic disease in our setting was far from optimal. Our results indicate that a negative CT angiogram of the chest and ultrasound of the lower limbs do not rule out pulmonary embolism in patients classified as having an intermediate or high clinical probability score.

Given the wide range of sensitivities and specificities reported by the numerous studies and meta-analyses of diagnostic tests for patients with negative helical CT angiograms, this was not done in over half the cases. A recently published review, however, recommends performing ventilation-perfusion scintigrams for patients in the high clinical probability group and 100% in our high clinical probability group were finally diagnosed with objectively confirmed pulmonary embolism.

One of the advantages of thoracic CT angiography is that it offers an alternative diagnosis to that of pulmonary embolism in 11% to 85% of cases. We detected an alternative diagnosis in 14% of cases. Moreover, the detection of radiological changes other than those related to pulmonary embolism significantly reduced the probability of a thrombotic event during the follow-up period. The percentage of hemodynamically unstable patients which generally means emboli are in the main pulmonary arteries, however, was the same in the false negative and true negative groups.

Although diagnostic guidelines for pulmonary embolism recommend the performance of further diagnostic tests for patients with negative helical CT angiograms, this was not done in over half the cases in our setting. Our findings show that a negative CT angiogram is clearly insufficient reason to rule out clinically significant pulmonary embolism, and do not support the idea that negative results come only in clinically insignificant cases.

Two prospective multicenter studies have shown that a negative CT angiogram along with a negative ultrasound of the lower limbs reliably rules out pulmonary embolism. A recently published review, however, recommends performing a pulmonary angiogram on all intermediate and high clinical probability patients with a negative CT angiogram and ultrasound. Our findings support this recommendation given that 50% of the patients in our intermediate clinical probability group and 100% in our high clinical probability group were finally diagnosed with objectively confirmed pulmonary embolism.

Despite the limitations imposed by the design of our study, we believe significant bias is unlikely. Firstly, although the prevalence of pulmonary embolism in our series is greater than that reported for other groups of outpatients with suspected pulmonary embolism, the prevalence in our study population is that no additional diagnostic tests were performed on patients with low clinical probability scores and negative D-dimer levels. Secondly, none of the patients died in the 3-month follow-up period. Thirdly, recurrent embolism was diagnosed using strict objective criteria. And finally, studies most likely lose patients with pulmonary embolism, but these would have been correctly diagnosed by angiography in our study. The debate continues, however, as to whether it is necessary to anticoagulate patients with subsegmental pulmonary embolism who have few or no symptoms.
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