Introduction

In earlier studies we found that logistic regression models lack precision in predicting surgical risk when applied to individual patients, at least in our series of cases.1,2 The present study was designed to test the hypothesis that one of the many factors that could affect this lack of precision is the discrepancy between scheduled resections and the resections subsequently performed, as, logically, estimation of surgical risk is made on the information available prior to the resection. We have not found articles in the medical literature that analyze this aspect, one undoubtedly familiar to surgeons. The main objective of this study, then, was to quantify the agreement between planned lung resections (lobectomy or pneumonectomy) and the resections subsequently performed, in a series of

Concordancia entre el tipo de resección programada y la efectuada en pacientes con carcinoma pulmonar

OBJETIVO: Cuantificar la concordancia entre la cirugía de resección planeada y la efectuada en una serie de pacientes, evaluando si la localización del tumor (central o periférico) influye en el grado de discrepancia encontrado y valorar, en los casos de neumonectomías no programadas, la causa que obligó a ampliar la resección prevista.

MÉTODO: Estudio clínico prospectivo observacional en 199 pacientes programados para intervención quirúrgica por cáncer de pulmón. Se clasificaron los tumores preoperatoriamente como centrales o periféricos, y el tipo de intervención programada –lobectomía (o bilobectomía) o neumonectomía– se comparó con la efectuada. Se han calculado las tasas de concordancia y el estadístico lambda.

RESULTADOS: Se practicaron 20 neumonectomías no programadas. Se encontró concordancia entre lo programado y lo efectuado en el 86,9% de los casos (76,9% en tumores centrales y 95,4% en periféricos). El valor del estadístico lambda es de 0,38 (0,42 en tumores centrales y 0,17 en periféricos). En 7 ocasiones la neumonectomía no programada se debió a afectación ganglionar hilar.

CONCLUSIONES: En el 13% de los pacientes sometidos a cirugía por carcinoma bronquial, la resección efectuada no coincide con la que se había programado inicialmente, la mayor parte de las veces debido a la necesidad de efectuar una neumonectomía cuando se había previsto una lobectomía. Este hecho es más frecuente en los tumores centrales y es debido con más frecuencia a invasión directa de las estructuras anatómicas que a extensión ganglionar hilar.
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consecutive patients operated on by the same surgical team. The specific objectives of the study were: to assess whether the location of the tumor (central or peripheral) affected the degree of discrepancy and to examine, in the cases of unscheduled pneumonectomies, the reasons for extending the resection initially planned.

Methods

A prospective, observational, clinical trial was carried out on all patients scheduled for lung cancer resection at our unit between November 2001 and December 2003. The enrollment criteria, which have been previously published,1,2 remained unchanged for the duration of the study period.

Patients in whom, for whatever reason, the resection of the tumor consisted of an atypical segmentectomy (9 patients) and cases of exploratory thoracotomy (12 patients) were excluded from the study.

Tumors were preoperatively classified as central or peripheral. Tumors were classified as central when they reached the proximal third of the lung hilar in computed tomography images or when endoscopy revealed the tumors to have infiltrated the main bronchus or the beginning of a lobe.

The type of resection needed—lobectomy (or bilobectomy) or pneumonectomy—was decided in a clinical surgical session following individualized discussion of preoperatively available clinical data and endoscopic and radiographic results, according to the subjective experience of the surgeons.

To assess possible intraluminal growth, fiberoptic bronchoscopy was performed on all patients, following intubation in the operating theatre, and was followed by a posterior muscle sparing thoracotomy or a video-assisted anterior minithoracotomy, in each case at the head surgeon’s discretion. Standard posterolateral thoracotomy was not performed. An intraoperative study of the bronchial margin was carried out in all bronchoplasty cases. Macroscopic mediastinal node extension was not taken into consideration when deciding on the operation, bringing the series mortality to 1%. Lobectomies had been performed in both cases.

In the preoperative assessment, tumors were classified as peripheral in 108 cases (54.3%), rib resection was considered necessary in 12 patients (6%), and 171 (85.9%) lobectomies and 28 (14.1%) pneumonectomies were scheduled. Subsequently, 157 lobectomies (78.9%) and 42 pneumonectomies (21.1%) were performed. We were able to perform bronchoplasty on 12 patients (6%) instead of pneumonectomy, and rib resection was performed on 12 patients (6%). Histology of the resected specimen revealed nodal involvement in 39 patients: in the hilar nodes in 20 patients, in the hilar and mediastinal nodes in 2 patients, and only in mediastinal nodes in 17 patients.

Table 1 shows the scheduled and performed resections. Twenty unscheduled pneumonectomies were performed. Agreement between scheduled and performed resections was recorded in 86.9% of patients. The lambda statistic was 0.38. Table 2 shows the same information grouped by central or peripheral tumor location. The lambda statistics were 0.42 and 0.17 respectively. The scheduled and performed resections were the same in 76.9% of patients with central tumors and 95.4% of patients with peripheral tumors.

Most unscheduled pneumonectomies (13 cases) were attributable to direct tumor invasion of anatomic structures.
structures without hilar node involvement (Table 3). Agreement was 69.2% in the group of 26 patients with induction chemotherapy (Table 4); discrepancies in these cases were mostly due to lobectomies being performed on patients scheduled for pneumonectomies.

**Discussion**

Authors who have published on lung resection risk assessment and prognosis have usually simply identified variables related to postoperative events such as major morbidity or mortality,\(^5\) with 1 exception.\(^3\) Other authors retrospectively applied classification criteria to a series of previously performed resections.\(^6\) Few studies can be found in the literature that examine whether the application of certain predictive models to a series of different cases enables the correct identification of patients who will present complications. Authors who have done so have found the positive predictive value of the model to be low and its negative predictive value to be high; in other words, patients who will not present complications can be identified but positive prediction of complications is inaccurate in individual patients.\(^3\)

This is logical, involving as it does the prediction of very rare events, such as operative mortality or the appearance of complications which depend on variables that cannot always be identified. In a study recently published by our group, we found the area under the receiver operating characteristics curve to be very high when using a model calculated with an artificial intelligence program, but this result needs to be confirmed in further studies.\(^7\)

In the present study, we have tried to quantify the degree of discrepancy between the type of resection scheduled with the resection eventually performed, given that the error in estimating the type of surgery necessary could be an important confounding factor in the estimation of surgical risk. We deliberately excluded cases of exploratory thoracotomy from the analysis as the risk of this kind of surgery bears no relation to the amount of parenchyma excised.

We used the Goodman and Kruskal\(^8\) lambda association statistic, rarely mentioned in the medical literature. Lambda statistic involves measuring the proportional reduction of error and quantifying to what extent the error of estimating a categorical, nonordinal, variable is reduced when another variable is known. The statistic ranges between 0 (no association at all) and 1 (perfect association). The information we presented, then, can be interpreted in the following way: a lambda of 0.38 in the general series signifies that there is a 38% possibility of predicting the variation of the performed resection on the knowledge of what the scheduled resection was. An association of more than 0.30 is considered strong in the literature.

Once the above is known, we can establish a marked distinction between agreement in the cases of central and peripheral tumors. Correlation with peripheral tumors was much lower but most of the errors were found in the group of central tumors (25 pneumonectomies were scheduled and 36 performed for central tumors, while 3 were scheduled and 6 performed for peripheral tumors), indicating better association for peripheral tumors. Paradoxical behavior of association statistics has been discussed regarding the kappa statistic,\(^9\) and some authors\(^10\) recommend the presentation of agreement and discrepancy percentages, as presented in our study, and not statistical values alone.

In this series, as mentioned above, bronchoplasty was performed when possible, irrespective of the patient’s lung function. Survival is similar for bronchoplasty and pneumonectomy\(^11,12\) but pneumonectomy continues to be a high risk operation\(^13\) that could be associated with lower, long-term survival.\(^14\)

Hilar node involvement is a frequent reason for performing pneumonectomies, but not the major one. Both hilar node invasion and extrabronchial tumoral spread, undetected by bronchoscopy, can be predicted from images, but they cannot be demonstrated preoperatively so choice of surgery is based on the surgeon’s experience. This subjectivity was a major limitation to our study, reducing its reproducibility.
Moreover, we can not compare our results with the literature as we have not found similar recent studies. Despite these limitations we believe that our results are very useful as they quantify a known fact. Knowing the repercussion a change of scheduled surgery could have on patients with limited lung function is particularly relevant. We have carried out a preliminary study on this aspect and hope to be able to publish more conclusive results in the near future.

Assessment of the influence of induction chemotherapy on agreement between scheduled and subsequent surgery was not one of the specific objectives of this study. Nevertheless, in our series we have shown that most discrepancies in these patients consisted of lobectomies being performed when pneumonectomies had been scheduled but we do not feel that this finding should be taken into account as criteria used to indicate induction chemotherapy varied. This aspect definitely deserves a separate, properly designed study.

In conclusion, we found that in 13% of patients who underwent bronchial carcinoma operations, the resection performed did not coincide with the resection initially scheduled. Most of the discrepancy occurred in centrally located tumors on which a pneumonectomy was performed due to invasion of anatomical structures. This result is particularly important for patients whose poor lung function does not allow pneumonectomy to be indicated.
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